



---

# Medicare Encounter Data System

## Standard Companion Guide Transaction Information

**Instructions related to the 837 Health Care Claim: Durable Medical Equipment (DME) Supplier Professional Transaction based on ASC X12 Technical Report Type 3 (TR3), Version 005010X222A1**

**Companion Guide Version Number: 31.0  
Created: July 2016**

# Table of Contents

---

Preface.....	5
1.0 Introduction .....	6
1.1 Scope .....	6
1.2 Overview .....	6
1.3 Major Updates.....	6
1.3.1 EDFES Notifications .....	6
1.3.2 EDDPPS Edits and EDDPPS Edits Enhancements Implementation Updates .....	6
1.3.3 EDDPPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies /Scenarios Updates .....	6
1.4 References.....	7
2.0 Contact Information.....	7
2.1 The Customer Service and Support Center (CSSC).....	7
2.2 Applicable Websites/Email Resources .....	8
3.0 File Submission .....	8
3.1 File Size Limitations.....	8
3.2 File Structure – NDM/Connect:Direct and Gentran/TIBCO Submitters Only .....	8
4.0 Control Segments/Envelopes .....	9
4.1 ISA/IEA.....	9
4.2 GS/GE .....	10
4.3 ST/SE.....	11
5.0 Transaction Specific Information.....	12
5.1 837 Professional: Data Element Table .....	12
6.0 Acknowledgements and/or Reports .....	17
6.1 TA1 – Interchange Acknowledgement.....	17
6.2 999 – Functional Group Acknowledgement.....	17
6.3 277CA – Claim Acknowledgement .....	18
6.4 MAO-001 – Encounter Data Duplicates Report.....	18
6.5 MAO-002 – Encounter Data Processing Status Report .....	19
6.6 Reports File Naming Conventions .....	19
6.6.1 Testing Reports File Naming Convention.....	19
6.6.2 Production Reports File Naming Convention .....	20

6.7	EDFES Notifications .....	21
7.0	Front-End Edits .....	23
7.1	Deactivated Front-End Edits .....	23
7.2	Temporarily Deactivated Front-End Edits.....	26
7.3	New EDFES Edits.....	27
8.0	Duplicate Logic.....	27
8.1	Header Level.....	27
8.2	Detail Level .....	27
9.0	837 Professional DME Business Cases .....	28
9.1	DME Supplier Encounter – Oxygen Services .....	29
9.2	DME Supplier Encounter – Capped Rental – Wheelchair .....	31
9.3	DME Supplier Encounter – Purchase – Portable Toilet .....	33
9.4	DME Supplier Encounter – Prosthetic Device.....	35
9.5	DME Supplier Encounter – Bathtub Rail.....	37
9.6	DME Supplier Encounter - Parenteral.....	39
10.0	Encounter Data DME Processing and Pricing System Edits .....	41
10.1	EDDPPS Edits Enhancements Implementation Dates .....	43
10.2	EDDPPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies .....	43
10.2.1	EDPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies– Phase I: Frequently Generated EDDPPS Edits.....	43
10.2.2	EDDPPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies – Phase II.....	43
10.2.3	EDDPPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies – Phase III: General EDDPPS Edits	47
11.0	DME Supplier vs. Incident to Services Submission.....	52
12.0	Submission of Default Data in a Limited Set of Circumstances .....	52
12.1	Default Data Reason Codes (DDRC).....	52
13.0	Tier II Testing.....	53
14.0	EDS Acronyms.....	54

## List of Tables

TABLE 1 – ISA/IEA INTERCHANGE ELEMENTS.....	10
TABLE 2 – GS/GE FUNCTIONAL GROUP ELEMENTS.....	11
TABLE 3 – ST/SE TRANSACTION SET HEADER AND TRAILER ELEMENTS.....	12
TABLE 4 - 837 PROFESSIONAL HEALTH CARE CLAIM .....	13
TABLE 5 - TESTING EDFES REPORTS FILE NAMING CONVENTIONS .....	19
TABLE 6 – TESTING EDPS REPORTS FILE NAMING CONVENTIONS.....	20
TABLE 7 – FILE NAME COMPONENT DESCRIPTION .....	20
TABLE 8 - PRODUCTION EDFES REPORTS FILE NAMING CONVENTIONS.....	21
TABLE 9 – PRODUCTION EDPS REPORTS FILE NAMING CONVENTIONS.....	21
TABLE 10 – EDFES NOTIFICATIONS.....	22
TABLE 11 – 837-P DME DEACTIVATED FRONT-END EDITS.....	24
TABLE 12 – 837-P DME TEMPORARILY DEACTIVATED CEM EDITS.....	26
TABLE 13 – 837 NEW PROFESSIONAL DME CEM EDITS.....	27
TABLE 14 – ENCOUNTER DATA DME PROCESSING AND PRICING SYSTEM (EDDPPS) EDITS.....	42
TABLE 15 – EDDPPS EDITS ENHANCEMENTS IMPLEMENTATION DATES.....	43
TABLE 16 – EDPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE I (Removed).....	43
TABLE 17 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE II.....	43
TABLE 18 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE III.....	47
TABLE 19 – DEFAULT DATA.....	52
TABLE 20 – EDS ACRONYMS.....	54
TABLE 21 – REVISION HISTORY.....	56

## **Preface**

---

The Encounter Data System (EDS) Companion Guide contains information to assist Medicare Advantage Organizations (MAOs) and other entities in the submission of encounter data. The EDS Companion Guide is continually under development and the information in this version reflects current decisions and will be modified on a regular basis. All of the EDS Companion Guides are identified by a version number, located in the version control log on the last page of the document. Users should verify that they are using the most current version.

Questions regarding the content of the EDS Companion Guide should be directed to [encounterdata@cms.hhs.gov](mailto:encounterdata@cms.hhs.gov).

## 1.0 Introduction

---

### 1.1 Scope

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) EDS 837-P DME Companion Guide addresses how MAOs and other entities conduct Professional DME supplier claims under Health Information Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) standard electronic transactions with CMS. The CMS EDS supports transactions adopted under HIPAA, as well as additional supporting transactions described in this guide.

The CMS EDS 837-P DME Companion Guide must be used in conjunction with the associated 837-P Technical Report Type 3 (TR3) and the CMS 5010 Edits Spreadsheets. The instructions in the CMS EDS 837-P DME Companion Guide are not intended for use as a stand-alone requirements document.

### 1.2 Overview

The CMS EDS 837-P DME Companion Guide includes information required to initiate and maintain communication exchange with CMS. The information is organized in the sections listed below:

- **Contact Information:** Includes telephone numbers and email addresses for EDS contacts.
- **Control Segments/Envelopes:** Contains information required to create the ISA/IEA, GS/GE, and ST/SE control segments in order for the EDS to support these transactions.
- **Acknowledgements and Reports:** Contains information for all transaction acknowledgements and reports sent by EDS.
- **Transaction Specific Information:** Describes the details of the HIPAA X12N TR3, using a tabular format. The tables contain a row for each segment with CMS and TR3 specific information. That information may contain:
  - Limits on the repeat of loops or segments
  - Limits on the length of a simple data element
  - Specifics on a sub-set of the Implementation Guide's (IG) internal code listings
  - Clarification of the use of loops, segments, and composite or simple data elements
  - Any other information tied directly to a loop, segment, and composite or simple data element pertinent to trading electronically with CMS.

In addition to the row for each segment, one (1) or more additional rows describe the EDS' usage for composite or simple data elements and for any other information.

### 1.3 Major Updates

#### 1.3.1 EDFES Notifications

MAOs and other entities may reference Section 6.7, Table 10 for a new EDFES notification.

#### 1.3.2 EDDPPS Edits and EDDPPS Edits Enhancements Implementation Updates

MAOs and other entities may reference Section 10.0, Table 14 and Section 10.1, Table 15 for new and deactivated edits in the EDDPPS.

#### 1.3.3 EDDPPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies /Scenarios Updates

MAOs may reference Section 10.2.3, updated prevention and resolution strategies and/or scenarios for new edits in the EDDPPS.

## 1.4 References

MAOs and other entities must use the ASC X12N TR3 adopted under the HIPAA Administrative Simplification Electronic Transaction rule, along with CMS' EDS Companion Guidelines, for development of EDS' transactions. These documents are accessible on the CSSC Operations website at [www.csscooperations.com](http://www.csscooperations.com). Additionally, CMS publishes the EDS' submitter guidelines and application, testing documents, and 837 EDS Companion Guides on the CSSC Operations website.

MAOs and other entities must use the most current national standard code lists applicable to the 5010 transaction. The code lists is accessible at the Washington Publishing Company (WPC) website at <http://www.wpc-edi.com>

The applicable code lists are as follows:

- Claim Adjustment Reason Code (CARC)
- Claim Status Category Codes (CSCC)
- Claim Status Codes (CSC)

CMS provides X12 5010 file format technical edit spreadsheets (CMS 5010 Edits Spreadsheets) for the 837-P, 837-I, and 837-DME modules. The edits included in the spreadsheets are provided to clarify the WPC instructions or add Medicare specific requirements. In order to determine the implementation date of the edits contained in the spreadsheet, MAOs and other entities should initially refer to the spreadsheet version identifier. The version identifier is comprised of ten (10) characters as follows:

- Positions 1-2 indicate the line of business:
  - EA – Part A (837-I)
  - EB – Part B (837-P)
  - CE – DME/Part B Drugs
- Positions 3-6 indicate the year (e.g., 2015)
- Position 7 indicates the release quarter month
  - 1 – January release
  - 2 – April release
  - 3 – July release
  - 4 – October release
- Positions 8-10 indicate the spreadsheet version iteration number (e.g., V01-first iteration, V02-second iteration)

The effective date of the spreadsheet is the first calendar day of the release quarter month. The implementation date is the first business Monday of the release quarter month. Federal holidays that potentially occur on the first business Monday are considered when determining the implementation date.

## 2.0 Contact Information

---

### 2.1 The Customer Service and Support Center (CSSC)

The Customer Service and Support Center (CSSC) personnel are available for questions from 8:00A.M. – 7:00P.M. ET, Monday-Friday, with the exception of federal holidays, and can be contacted at 1-877-534-CSSC (2772) or by email at [csscooperations@palmettogba.com](mailto:csscooperations@palmettogba.com).

## 2.2 Applicable Websites/Email Resources

The following websites provide information to assist in EDS submission:

### EDS WEBSITE RESOURCES

RESOURCE	WEB ADDRESS
EDS Inbox	<a href="mailto:encounterdata@cms.hhs.gov">encounterdata@cms.hhs.gov</a>
EDS Participant Guides	<a href="http://www.cssoperations.com/">http://www.cssoperations.com/</a>
EDS User Group and Webinar Materials	<a href="http://www.cssoperations.com/">http://www.cssoperations.com/</a>
ANSI ASC X12 TR3	<a href="http://www.wpc-edi.com/">http://www.wpc-edi.com/</a>
Washington Publishing Company Health Care Code Sets	<a href="http://www.wpc-edi.com/">http://www.wpc-edi.com/</a>
CMS 5010 Edits Spreadsheet	<a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Transmittals/">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Transmittals/</a>

## 3.0 File Submission

---

### 3.1 File Size Limitations

Due to system limitations, the combination of all ST/SE transaction sets per file cannot exceed certain thresholds, dependent upon the connectivity method of the submitter. FTP and NDM users cannot exceed 85,000 encounters per file. Gentran/TIBCO users cannot exceed 5,000 encounters per file. For all connectivity methods, the TR3 allows no more than 5000 CLMs per ST/SE segment.

**Note:** Due to system processing overhead associated with smaller numbers of encounters within the ST/SE, it is highly recommended that MAOs and other entities submit larger numbers of encounters within the ST/SE, not to exceed 5,000 encounters.

In an effort to support and provide the most efficient processing system, and to allow for maximum performance, CMS recommends that FTP submitters' scripts upload no more than one (1) file per five (5) minute intervals. Zipped files should contain one (1) file per transmission. MAOs and other entities should refrain from submitting multiple files within the same transmission. NDM and Gentran/TIBCO users may submit a maximum of 255 files per day.

### 3.2 File Structure – NDM/Connect:Direct and Gentran/TIBCO Submitters Only

NDM/Connect Direct and Gentran/TIBCO submitters must format all submitted files in an 80-byte fixed block format. This means MAOs and other entities must upload every line (record) in a file with a length of 80 bytes/characters.

Submitters should create files with segments stacked, using only 80 characters per line. At position 81 of each segment, MAOs and other entities must create a new line. On the new line starting in position 1, continue for 80 characters, and repeat creating a new line in position 81 until the file is complete. If the last line in the file does not fill to 80 characters, the submitter should space the line out to position 80 and then save the file.



**Note:** If MAOs and other entities are using a text editor to create the file, pressing the Enter key will create a new line. If MAOs and other entities are using an automated system to create the file, create a new line by using a CRLF (Carriage Return Line Feed) or a LF (Line Feed).

For example the ISA record is 106 characters long:

```
ISA*00*      *00*      *ZZ*ENH9999      *ZZ*80887      *120430*114
4*^*00501*000000031*1*P*::~
```

The first line of the file will contain the first 80 characters of the ISA segment; the last 26 characters of the ISA segment will be continued on the second line. The next segment will start in the 27th position and continue until column 80.

**Note to NDM/Connect:Direct Users:** If a submitter has not established a sufficient number of Generated Data Groups (GDGs) to accommodate the number of files returned from the EDFES, not all of the EDFES Acknowledgement reports will be stored in the submitter’s system. To prevent this situation, NDM/ Connect:Direct submitters should establish a limit of 255 GDGs in their internal systems.

## 4.0 Control Segments/Envelopes

---

### 4.1 ISA/IEA

The term interchange denotes the transmitted ISA/IEA envelope. Interchange control is achieved through several “control” components, as defined in Table 1. The interchange control number is contained in data element ISA13 of the ISA segment. The identical control number must also occur in data element IEA02 of the IEA segment. MAOs and other entities must populate all elements in the ISA/IEA interchange. There are several elements within the ISA/IEA interchange that must be populated specifically for encounter data purposes. Table 1 provides EDS Interchange Control (ISA/IEA) specific elements.

**Note:** Table 1 presents only those elements that provide specific details relevant to encounter data. When developing the encounter data system, users should base their logic on the highest level of specificity. First, consult the WPC/TR3. Second, consult the CMS 5010 Edits Spreadsheets. Third, consult the CMS EDS 837-P Companion Guide. If the options expressed in the WPC/TR3 or the CMS 5010 Edits Spreadsheets are broader than the options identified in the CMS EDS 837-P Companion Guide, MAOs and other entities must use the rules identified in the Companion Guide.

#### LEGEND TO TABLE 1

<b>Legend</b>
SHADED rows represent segments in the X12N TR3
NON-SHADED rows represent data elements in the X12N TR3

**TABLE 1 – ISA/IEA INTERCHANGE ELEMENTS**

LOOP ID	REFERENCE	NAME	CODES	NOTES/COMMENTS
ISA		Interchange Control Header		
	ISA01	Authorization Information Qualifier	00	No authorization information present
	ISA02	Authorization Information		Use 10 blank spaces
	ISA03	Security Information Qualifier	00	No security information present
	ISA04	Security Information		Use 10 blank spaces
	ISA05	Interchange ID Qualifier	ZZ	CMS expects to see a value of "ZZ" to designate that the code is mutually defined
	ISA05	Interchange ID Qualifier	ZZ	CMS expects to see a value of "ZZ" to designate that the code is mutually defined
	ISA06	Interchange Sender ID		EN followed by Contract ID Number
	ISA07	Interchange ID Qualifier	ZZ	CMS expects to see a value of "ZZ" to designate that the code is mutually defined
	ISA08	Interchange Receiver ID	80887	
	ISA11	Repetition Separator	^	
	ISA13	Interchange Control Number		Must be a fixed length with nine (9) characters and match IEA02.  Used to identify file level duplicate collectively with GS06, ST02, and BHT03.
	ISA14	Acknowledgement Requested	1	Interchange Acknowledgement Requested (TA1)  A TA1 will be sent if the file is syntactically incorrect, otherwise only a '999' will be sent.
	ISA15	Usage Indicator	T P	Test Production
IEA		Interchange Control Trailer		
	IEA02	Interchange Control Number		Must match the value in ISA13

## 4.2 GS/GE

The functional group is outlined by the functional group header (GS segment) and the functional group trailer (GE segment). The functional group header starts and identifies one or more related transaction sets and provides a control number and application identification information. The functional group trailer defines the end of the functional group of related transaction sets and provides a count of contained transaction sets.

MAOs and other entities must populate elements in the GS/GE functional group. There are several elements within the GS/GE that must be populated specifically for encounter data collection. Table 2 provides EDS functional group (GS/GE) specific elements.

**Note:** Table 2 presents only those elements that require explanation.

**TABLE 2 – GS/GE FUNCTIONAL GROUP ELEMENTS**

LOOP ID	REFERENCE	NAME	CODES	NOTES/COMMENTS
GS		Functional Group Header		
	GS02	Application Sender’s Code		EN followed by Contract ID Number This value must match the value in ISA06
	GS03	Application Receiver’s Code	80887	This value must match the value in ISA08
	GS06	Group Control Number		This value must match the value in GE02 Used to identify file level duplicates collectively with ISA13, ST02, and BHT03
	GS08	Version/Release/Industry Identifier Code	005010X222A1	
GE		Functional Group Trailer		
	GE02	Group Control Number		This value must match the value in GS06

### 4.3 ST/SE

The transaction set (ST/SE) contains required, situational loops, unused loops, segments, and data elements. The transaction set is outlined by the transaction set header (ST segment) and the transaction set trailer (SE segment). The transaction set header identifies the start and identifies the transaction set. The transaction set trailer identifies the end of the transaction set and provides a count of the data segments, which includes the ST and SE segments. There are several elements that must be populated specifically for encounter data purposes. Table 3 provides EDS’ transaction set (ST/SE) specific elements.

**Note:** Table 3 presents only those elements that require explanation.

**TABLE 3 – ST/SE TRANSACTION SET HEADER AND TRAILER ELEMENTS**

<b>LOOP ID</b>	<b>REFERENCE</b>	<b>NAME</b>	<b>CODES</b>	<b>NOTES/COMMENTS</b>
ST		Transaction Set Header		
	ST01	Transaction Set Identifier Code	837	
	ST02	Transaction Set Control Number		This value must match the value in SE02  Used to identify file level duplicates collectively with ISA13, GS06, and BHT03
	ST03	Implementation Convention Reference	005010X222A1	
SE		Transaction Set Trailer		
	SE01	Number of Included Segments		Must contain the actual number of segments within the ST/SE
	SE02	Transaction Set Control Number		This value must be match the value in ST02

## **5.0 Transaction Specific Information**

---

### **5.1 837 Professional: Data Element Table**

Within the ST/SE transaction set, there are multiple loops, segments, and data elements that provide billing provider, subscriber, and patient level information. MAOs and other entities should reference [www.wpc-edi.com](http://www.wpc-edi.com) to obtain the most current TR3. MAOs and other entities must submit EDS transactions using the most current transaction version.

The 837 Professional (DME) Data Element table identifies only those elements within the X12N TR3 that require comment within the context of EDS' submission. Table 4 identifies the 837 Professional IG by loop name, segment name, segment identifier, data element name, and data element identifier for cross reference. Not all of the data elements listed in Table 4 are required; but if they are used, the table reflects the values CMS expects to see.

**TABLE 4 - 837 PROFESSIONAL HEALTH CARE CLAIM**

LOOP ID	REFERENCE	NAME	CODES	NOTES/COMMENTS
	BHT	Beginning of Hierarchical Transaction		
	BHT03	Originator Application Transaction Identifier		Must be a unique identifier across all files Used to identify file level duplicates collectively with ISA13, GS06, and ST02
	BHT06	Claim Identifier	CH	Chargeable
1000A	NM1	Submitter Name		
	NM102	Entity Type Qualifier	2	Non-Person Entity
	NM109	Submitter Identifier		EN followed by Contract ID Number
1000A	PER	Submitter EDI Contact Information		
	PER03	Communication Number Qualifier	TE	It is recommended that MAOs and other entities populate the submitter's telephone number
	PER05	Communication Number Qualifier	EM	It is recommended that MAOs and other entities populate the submitter's email address
	PER07	Communication Number Qualifier	FX	It is recommended that MAOs and other entities populate the submitter's fax number
1000B	NM1	Receiver Name		
	NM102	Entity Type Qualifier	2	Non-Person Entity
	NM103	Receiver Name		EDSCMS
	NM109	Receiver ID	80887	Identifies CMS as the receiver of the transaction and corresponds to the value in ISA08 Interchange Receiver ID. When the Payer ID must be changed for an encounter submitted to the EDS, MAOs and other entities must first void the original encounter, then submit a new encounter with the correct Payer ID.
2010AA	NM1	Billing Provider Name		
	NM108	Billing Provider ID Qualifier	XX	NPI Identifier
	NM109	Billing Provider Identifier	1XXXXXXXXXX	Must be populated with a ten digit number, must begin with the number 1 <b>Note:</b> Default NPIs should only be submitted to the EDS when the provider is considered to be "atypical." DME Default NPI: 1999999992
2010AA	N4	Billing Provider City, State, Zip Code		

LOOP ID	REFERENCE	NAME	CODES	NOTES/COMMENTS
	N403	Zip Code		The full nine (9) digits of the ZIP Code are required. If the last four (4) digits of the ZIP code are not available, populate a default value of "9998"
2010AA	REF	Billing Provider Tax Identification		
	REF01	Reference Identification Qualifier	EI	Employer's Identification Number
	REF02	Reference Identification	XXXXXXXXXX	Must be populated with XXXXXXXXXXXX <b>Note:</b> Default EINs should only be submitted to the EDS when the provider is considered "atypical." DME Default EIN: 1999999998
2000B	SBR	Subscriber Information		
	SBR01	Payer Responsibility Number Code	S	EDSCMS is considered the destination (secondary) payer
	SBR09	Claim Filing Indicator Code	MB	Must be populated with a value of MB – Medicare Part B
2010BA	NM1	Subscriber Name		
	NM108	Subscriber ID Qualifier	MI	Must be populated with a value of MI – Member Identification Number
	NM109	Subscriber Primary Identifier		This is the subscriber's Health Insurance Claim (HIC) number.  Must match the value in Loop 2330A, NM109
2010BB	NM1	Payer Name		
	NM103	Payer Name		EDSCMS
	NM108	Payer ID Qualifier	PI	Must be populated with the value of PI – Payer Identification
	NM109	Payer Identification	80887	When the Payer ID must be changed for an encounter submitted to the EDS, MAOs and other entities must first void the original encounter, then submit a new encounter with the correct Payer ID.
2010BB	N3	Payer Address		
	N301	Payer Address Line	7500 Security Blvd	
2010BB	N4	Payer City, State, ZIP Code		
	N401	Payer City Name	Baltimore	
	N402	Payer State	MD	
	N403	Payer ZIP Code	212441850	
2010BB	REF	Other Payer Secondary Identifier		
	REF01	Contract ID Identifier	2U	
	REF02	Contract ID Number		MAO's or other entity's Contract ID

LOOP ID	REFERENCE	NAME	CODES	NOTES/COMMENTS
				Number
2300	CLM	Claim Information		
	CLM02	Total Claim Charge Amount		
	CLM05-3	Claim Frequency Type Code	1 7 8	1=Original claim submission 7=Replacement 8=Void
2300	PWK	Claim Supplemental Information		
	PWK01	Report Type Code	09  OZ  PY	Populated for chart review submissions only  Populated for encounters generated as a result of paper claims only  Populated for encounters generated as a result of 4010 claims only
	PWK02	Attachment Transmission Code	AA	Populated for chart review, paper generated encounters, or 4010 claims
2300	CN1	Contract Information		
	CN101	Contract Type Code	05	Populated for capitated arrangements
2300	REF	Payer Claim Control Number		
	REF01	Original Reference Number	F8	
	REF02	Payer Claim Control Number		Identifies ICN from original claim when submitting void or replacement EDR or chart review EDR
2300	REF	Medical Record Number		
	REF01	Medical Record Identification Number	EA	
	REF02	Medical Record Identification Number	8	<b>Chart review delete diagnosis code submission only</b> – Identifies the diagnosis code populated in Loop 2300, HI must be deleted from the encounter ICN in Loop 2300, REF02
2320	CAS	Claim Adjustment		
	CAS02	Adjustment Reason Code		If a claim is denied in the MAO's or other entity's adjudication system, the denial reason must be populated
2320	AMT	COB Payer Paid Amount		
	AMT02	Payer Paid Amount		MAO's or other entity's paid amount
2320	OI	Coverage Information		
	OI03	Benefits Assignment Certification Indicator		Must match the value in Loop 2300, CLM08
2330A	NM1	Other Subscriber Name		
	NM108	Identification Code Qualifier	MI	
	NM109	Subscriber Primary Identifier		Must match the value in Loop 2010BA, NM109

LOOP ID	REFERENCE	NAME	CODES	NOTES/COMMENTS
2330B	NM1	Other Payer Name		
	NM108	Identification Code Qualifier	XV	
	NM109	Other Payer Primary Identifier	Payer01	MAO's or other entity's Contract ID Number Only populated if there is no Contract ID Number available for a true other payer
2330B	N3	Other Payer Address		
	N301	Other Payer Address Line		MAO's or other entity's address
2330B	N4	Other Payer City, State, ZIP Code		
	N401	Other Payer City Name		MAO's or other entity's City
	N402	Other Payer State		MAO's or other entity's State.
	N403	Other Payer ZIP Code		MAO's or other entity's ZIP Code
2400	PWK	Durable Medical Equipment Certificate of Medical Necessity Indicator		
	PWK01	Attachment Report Type Code	CT	
	PWK02	Attachment Transmission Code	NS	Not Specified – Paperwork is available on request MAOs and other entities must not submit supplemental forms
2400	CN1	Contract Information		
	CN101	Contract Type Code	05	Populated for each capitated/staff service line
2430	SVD	Line Adjudication Information		
	SVD01	Other Payer Primary Identifier		Must match the value in Loop 2330B, NM109
2430	CAS	Line Adjustments		
	CAS02	Adjustment Reason Code		If a service line is denied in the MAO's or other entity's adjudication system, the denial reason must be populated
2430	DTP	Line Check or Remittance Date		
	DTP03			Populate the claim receipt date minus one (1) day as the default primary payer adjudication date only in the instance that the primary payer adjudication date is not available



## 6.0 Acknowledgements and/or Reports

---

### 6.1 TA1 – Interchange Acknowledgement

The TA1 report enables the receiver to notify the sender when there are problems with the interchange control structure. As the interchange envelope enters the EDFES, the EDI translator performs TA1 validation of the control segments/envelope. The sender will only receive a TA1 if there are syntax errors in the file. Errors found in this stage will cause the entire X12 interchange to reject with no further processing.

MAOs and other entities will receive a TA1 interchange report acknowledging the syntactical inaccuracy of an X12 interchange header ISA and trailer IEA and the envelope's structure. Encompassed in the TA1 is the interchange control number, interchange date and time, interchange acknowledgement code and interchange note code. The interchange control number, date, and time are identical to those populated on the original 837-I or 837-P ISA line, which allows for MAOs and other entities to associate the TA1 with a specific file previously submitted.

Within the TA1 segment, MAOs and other entities will be able to determine if the interchange rejected by examining the interchange acknowledgement code (TA104) and the interchange note code (TA105). The interchange acknowledgement code stipulates whether the interchange (ISA/IEA) rejected due to syntactical errors. An "R" will be the value in the TA104 data element if the interchange rejected due to syntactical errors. The interchange note code is a numeric code that notifies MAOs and other entities of the specific error. If a fatal error occurs, the EDFES generates and returns the TA1 interchange acknowledgement report within 24 hours of the interchange submission. If a TA1 interchange control structure error is identified, MAOs and other entities must correct the error and resubmit the interchange file.

### 6.2 999 – Functional Group Acknowledgement

After the interchange passes the TA1 edits, the next stage of editing is to apply Common Edits and Enhancements Module (CEM) edits and verify the syntactical accuracy of the functional group(s) (GS/GE). Functional groups allow for organization of like data within an interchange; therefore, more than one (1) functional group containing multiple claims within the functional group can be populated in a file. The 999 acknowledgement report provides information on the validation of the GS/GE functional group(s) and the consistency of the data. The 999 report provides MAOs and other entities information on whether the functional group(s) were accepted or rejected.

If a file has multiple GS/GE segments and errors occurred at any point within one (1) of the syntactical and IG level edit validations, the GS/GE segment will reject, and processing will continue to the next GS/GE segment. For instance, if a file is submitted with three (3) functional groups and there are errors in the second functional group, the first functional group will accept, the second functional group will reject, and processing will continue to the third functional group.

The 999 transaction set is designed to report on adherence to IG level edits and CMS standard syntax errors as depicted in the CMS 5010 Edit Spreadsheets. Three (3) possible acknowledgement values are:

- "A" – Accepted
- "R" – Rejected
- "P" – Partially Accepted, At Least One (1) Transaction Set Was Rejected

When viewing the 999 report, MAOs and other entities should navigate to the IK5 and AK9 segments. If an “A” is displayed in the IK5 and AK9 segments, the claim file is accepted and will continue processing. If an “R” is displayed in the IK5 and AK9 segments, an IK3 and an IK4 segment will be displayed. These segments indicate what loops and segments contain the error that requires correction so the interchange can be resubmitted. The third element in the IK3 segment identifies the loop that contains the error. The first element in the IK3 and IK4 indicates the segment and element that contain the error. The third element in the IK4 segment indicates the reason code for the error.

### **6.3 277CA – Claim Acknowledgement**

After the file accepts at the interchange and functional group levels, the third level of editing occurs at the transaction set level within the CEM in order to create the Claim Acknowledgement Transaction (277CA) report. The CEM checks the validity of the values within the data elements. For instance, data element N403 must be a valid nine (9)-digit ZIP code. If a non-existent ZIP code is populated, the CEM will reject the encounter. The 277CA is an unsolicited acknowledgement report from CMS to MAOs and other entities.

The 277CA is used to acknowledge the acceptance or rejection of encounters submitted using a hierarchical level (HL) structure. The first level of hierarchical editing is at the Information Source level. This entity is the decision maker in the business transaction receiving the X12 837 transactions (EDSCMS). The next level is at the Information Receiver level. This is the entity expecting the response from the Information Source. The third hierarchical level is at the Billing Provider of Service level; and the fourth and final level is done at the Patient level. Acceptance or rejection at this level is based on the WPC and the CMS 5010 Edits Spreadsheets. Edits received at any hierarchical level will stop and no further editing will take place. For example, if there is a problem with the Billing Provider of Service submitted on the 837, individual patient edits will not be performed. For those encounters not accepted, the 277CA will detail additional actions required of MAOs and other entities in order to correct and resubmit those encounters.

If an MAO or other entity receives a 277CA indicating an encounter rejected, the MAO or other entity must resubmit the encounter until the 277CA indicates no errors were found.

If an encounter is accepted, the 277CA will provide the ICN assigned to that encounter. The ICN segment for the accepted encounter will be located in 2200D REF segment, REF01=IK and REF02=ICN. The ICN is a unique 13-digit number.

If an encounter rejects, the 277CA will provide edit information in the STC segment. The STC03 data element will convey whether the HL structures accepted or rejected. The STC03 is populated with a value of “WQ”, if the HL was accepted. If the STC03 data element is populated with a value of “U”, the HL rejects and the STC01 data element will list the acknowledgement code.

### **6.4 MAO-001 – Encounter Data Duplicates Report**

When the MAO-002 Encounter Data Processing Status Report is returned to an MAO or other entity, and contains one or more the following edits,

- 98315 – Linked Chart Review Duplicate,
- 98320 – Chart Review Duplicate, or
- 98325 – Service Line(s) Duplicated,

the EDPS will also generate and return the MAO-001 Encounter Data Duplicates Report. MAOs and other entities will not receive the MAO-001 report if there are no duplicate errors received on submitted encounters.

The MAO-001 report is a fixed length report available in flat file and formatted report layouts. It provides information for encounters and service lines that receive a status of “reject” and specific error messages, 98315, 98320, or 98325. MAOs and other entities must correct and resubmit only those encounters that received edits, 98315, 98320, or 98325. The MAO-001 report allows MAOs and other entities the opportunity to more easily reconcile these duplicate encounters and service lines.

## 6.5 MAO-002 – Encounter Data Processing Status Report

After a file accepts through the EDFES, the file is transmitted to the Encounter Data Processing System (EDPS) where further editing, processing, pricing, and storage occurs. As a result of EDPS editing, the EDPS will return the MAO-002 – Encounter Data Processing Status Report.

The MAO-002 report is a fixed length report available in flat file and formatted report layouts that provide encounter and service line level information. The MAO-002 reflects two (2) statuses at the encounter and service line level: “accepted” or “rejected”. Lines that reflect a status of “accept” yet contain an error message in the Edit Description column are considered “informational” edits. MAOs and other entities are not required to take further action on “informational” edits; however, they are encouraged to do so to ensure accuracy of internal claims processing data.

The ‘000’ line on the MAO-002 report identifies the header level and indicates either “accepted” or “rejected” status. If the ‘000’ header line is rejected, the encounter is considered rejected and MAOs and other entities must correct and resubmit the encounter. If the ‘000’ header line is “accepted” and at least one (1) other line (i.e., 001 002 003 004) is accepted, then the overall encounter is accepted.

## 6.6 Reports File Naming Conventions

In order for MAOs and other entities to receive and identify the EDFES Acknowledgement Reports (TA1, 999, and 277CA) and EDPS MAO-002 Encounter Data Processing Status Reports, specific reports file naming conventions have been used. The file name ensures that the specific reports are appropriately distributed to each secure, unique mailbox. The EDFES and EDPS have established unique file naming conventions for reports distributed during testing and production.

### 6.6.1 Testing Reports File Naming Convention

Table 5 provides the EDFES reports file naming conventions according to connectivity method. MAOs and other entities should note that Connect:Direct (NDM) users’ reports file naming conventions are user defined.

**TABLE 5 - TESTING EDFES REPORTS FILE NAMING CONVENTIONS**

REPORT TYPE	GENTRAN/TIBCO MAILBOX	FTP MAILBOX
EDFES Notifications	T.xxxxx.EDS_RESPONSE.pn	RSPxxxxx.RSP.REJECTED_ID
TA1	T.xxxxx.EDS_REJT_IC_ISAIEA.pn	X12xxxxx.X12.TMMDDCCYYHHMMS
999	T.xxxxx.EDS_REJT_FUNCT_TRANS.pn	999#####.999.999
999	T.xxxxx.EDS_ACCPT_FUNCT_TRANS.pn	999#####.999.999
277CA	T.xxxxx.EDS_RESP_CLAIM_NUM.pn	RSPxxxxx.RSP_277CA

Table 6 provides the EDPS reports file naming convention by connectivity method. MAOs and other entities should note that Connect:Direct (NDM) users' reports file naming conventions are user defined.

**TABLE 6 – TESTING EDPS REPORTS FILE NAMING CONVENTIONS**

CONNECTIVITY METHOD	TESTING NAMING CONVENTION FORMATTED REPORT	TESTING NAMING CONVENTION FLAT FILE LAYOUT
GENTRAN/ TIBCO	T.xxxxx.EDPS_001_DataDuplicate_Rpt T.xxxxx.EDPS_002_DataProcessingStatus_Rpt T.xxxxx.EDPS_004_RiskFilter_Rpt T.xxxxx.EDPS_005_DispositionSummary_Rpt T.xxxxx.EDPS_006_EditDisposition_Rpt T.xxxxx.EDPS_007_DispositionDetail_Rpt	T.xxxxx.EDPS_001_DataDuplicate_File T.xxxxx.EDPS_002_DataProcessingStatus_File T.xxxxx.EDPS_004_RiskFilter_File T.xxxxx.EDPS_005_DispositionSummary_File T.xxxxx.EDPS_006_EditDisposition_File T.xxxxx.EDPS_007_DispositionDetail_File
FTP	RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_001_DATDUP_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_002_DATPRS_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_004_RSKFLT_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_005_DSPSUM_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_006_EDTDSP_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_007_DSTDTL_RPT	RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_001_DATDUP_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_002_DATPRS_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_004_RSKFLT_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_005_DSPSUM_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_006_EDTDSP_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.EDPS_007_DSTDTL_File

Table 7 provides a description of the file name components, which will assist MAOs and other entities in identifying the report type.

**TABLE 7 – FILE NAME COMPONENT DESCRIPTION**

FILE NAME COMPONENT	DESCRIPTION
RSPxxxxx	The type of data 'RSP' and a sequential number assigned by the server 'xxxxx'
X12xxxxx	The type of data 'X12' and a sequential number assigned by the server 'xxxxx'
TMMDDCCYHHMMS	The Date and Time stamp the file was processed
999xxxxx	The type of data '999' and a sequential number assigned by the server 'xxxxx'
RPTxxxxx	The type of data 'RPT' and a sequential number assigned by the server 'xxxxx'
EDPS_XXX	Identifies the specific EDPS Report along with the report number (i.e., '002', etc.)
XXXXXXX	Seven (7) characters available to be used as a short description of the contents of the file
RPT/FILE	Identifies if the file is a formatted report 'RPT' or a flat file 'FILE' layout

### 6.6.2 Production Reports File Naming Convention

A different production reports file naming convention is used so that MAOs and other entities may easily identify reports generated and distributed during production. Table 8 provides the reports file naming conventions per connectivity method for production reports.

**TABLE 8 - PRODUCTION EDFES REPORTS FILE NAMING CONVENTIONS**

REPORT TYPE	GENTRAN/TIBCO MAILBOX	FTP MAILBOX
EDFES Notifications	P.xxxxx.EDS_RESPONSE.pn	RSPxxxxx.RSP.REJECTED_ID
TA1	P.xxxxx.EDS_REJT_IC_ISAIEA.pn	X12xxxxx.X12.TMMDDCCYYHHMMS
999	P.xxxxx.EDS_REJT_FUNCT_TRANS.pn	999#####.999.999
999	P.xxxxx.EDS_ACCPT_FUNCT_TRANS.pn	999#####.999.999
277CA	P.xxxxx.EDS_RESP_CLAIM_NUM.pn	RSPxxxxx.RSP_277CA

Table 9 provides the production EDPS reports file naming conventions per connectivity method.

**TABLE 9 – PRODUCTION EDPS REPORTS FILE NAMING CONVENTIONS**

CONNECTIVITY METHOD	PRODUCTION NAMING CONVENTION FORMATTED REPORT	PRODUCTION NAMING CONVENTION FLAT FILE LAYOUT
GENTRAN/TIBCO	P.xxxxx.EDPS_001_DataDuplicate_Rpt P.xxxxx.EDPS_002_DataProcessingStatus_Rpt P.xxxxx.EDPS_004_RiskFilter_Rpt P.xxxxx.EDPS_005_DispositionSummary_Rpt P.xxxxx.EDPS_006_EditDisposition_Rpt P.xxxxx.EDPS_007_DispositionDetail_Rpt	P.xxxxx.EDPS_001_DataDuplicate_File P.xxxxx.EDPS_002_DataProcessingStatus_File P.xxxxx.EDPS_004_RiskFilter_File P.xxxxx.EDPS_005_DispositionSummary_File P.xxxxx.EDPS_006_EditDisposition_File P.xxxxx.EDPS_007_DispositionDetail_File
FTP	RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_001_DATDUP_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_002_DATPRS_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_004_RSKFLT_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_005_DSPSUM_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_006_EDTDSP_RPT RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_007_DSTDTL_RPT	RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_001_DATDUP_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_002_DATPRS_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_004_RSKFLT_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_005_DSPSUM_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_006_EDTDSP_File RPTxxxxx.RPT.PROD_007_DSTDTL_File

## 6.7 EDFES Notifications

The EDFES distributes special notifications to submitters when encounters have been processed by the EDFES, but will not proceed to the EDPS for further processing. These notifications are distributed to MAOs and other entities, in addition to standard EDFES Acknowledgement Reports (TA1, 999, and 277CA) in order to avoid returned, unprocessed files from the EDS.

Table 10 provides the file type, EDFES notification message, and EDFES notification message description.

The file has an 80 character record length and contains the following record layout:

1. File Name Record
  - a. Positions 1 – 7 = Blank Spaces
  - b. Positions 8 – 18 = File Name:
  - c. Positions 19 – 62 = Name of the Saved File
  - d. Positions 63 – 80 = Blank Spaces
2. File Control Record
  - a. Positions 1 – 4 = Blank Spaces
  - b. Positions 5 – 18 = File Control:
  - c. Positions 19 – 27 = File Control Number
  - d. Positions 28 – 80 = Blank Spaces
3. File Count Record
  - a. Positions 1 – 18 = Number of Claims:
  - b. Positions 19 – 24 = File Claim Count
  - c. Positions 25 – 80 = Blank Spaces

4. File Separator Record
  - a. Positions 1 – 80 = Separator (-----)
5. File Message Record
  - a. Positions 1 – 80 = FILE WAS NOT SENT TO THE EDPS BACK-END PROCESS FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S)
6. File Message Records
  - a. Positions 1 – 80 = File Message

The report format example is as follows:

FILE NAME: XX  
 FILE CONTROL: XXXXXXXXX  
 NUMBER OF CLAIMS: 99,999  
 FILE WAS NOT SENT TO THE EDPS BACK-END PROCESS FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S)  
 XX

Table 10 provides the complete list of testing and production EDFES notification messages.

**TABLE 10 – EDFES NOTIFICATIONS**

APPLIES TO	NOTIFICATION MESSAGE	NOTIFICATION MESSAGE DESCRIPTION
All files submitted	FILE ID (XXXXXXXX) IS A DUPLICATE OF A FILE ID SENT WITHIN THE LAST 12 MONTHS	The file ID must be unique for a 12 month period
All files submitted	SUBMITTER NOT AUTHORIZED TO SEND CLAIMS FOR PLAN (CONTRACT ID)	The submitter is not authorized to send for this plan
All files submitted	PLAN ID CANNOT BE THE SAME AS THE SUBMITTER ID	The Contract ID cannot be the same as the Submitter ID
All files submitted	AT LEAST ONE ENCOUNTER IS MISSING A CONTRACT ID IN THE 2010BB-REF02 SEGMENT	The Contract ID is missing
Production files submitted	SUBMITTER NOT CERTIFIED FOR PRODUCTION	The submitter must be certified to send encounters for production
Tier 2 file submitted	THE INTERCHANGE USAGE INDICATOR MUST EQUAL 'T'	The Professional Tier II file is being sent with a 'P' in the ISA15 field
Tier 2 file submitted	PLAN (CONTRACT ID) HAS (X,XXX) CLAIMS IN THIS FILE. ONLY 2,000 ARE ALLOWED	The number of encounters for a Contract ID cannot be greater than 2,000
End-to-End Testing	FILE CANNOT CONTAIN MORE THAN 4 ENCOUNTERS	The number of encounters cannot be greater than 4
End-to-End Testing	PATIENT CONTROL NUMBER IS MORE THAN 20 CHARACTERS LONG THE TC# WAS TRUNCATED	The Claim Control Number, including the Test Case Number, must not exceed 20 characters
End-to-End Testing	FILE CONTAINS (X) TEST CASE (X) ENCOUNTER(S)	The file must contain two (2) of each test case
End-to-End Testing	ADDITIONAL FILES CANNOT BE VALIDATED UNTIL AN MAO-002 REPORT HAS BEEN RECEIVED	The MAO-002 report must be received before additional files can be submitted

APPLIES TO	NOTIFICATION MESSAGE	NOTIFICATION MESSAGE DESCRIPTION
All files submitted	DATE OF SERVICE CANNOT BE BEFORE 2011	Files cannot be submitted with a date of service before 2011
All files submitted	TRANSACTION SET (ST/SE) (XXXXXXXXXX) CANNOT EXCEED 5,000 CLAIMS	There can only be 5,000 claims in each ST/SE Loop
All files submitted	FILE CANNOT EXCEED 85,000 ENCOUNTERS	The maximum number of encounters allowed in a file
Test	NO TEST CASES FOUND IN THIS FILE	This file was processed with the Interchange Usage Indicator = 'T' and the Submitter is not yet Certified
All files submitted	CAS ADJUSTMENT AMOUNT MUST NOT BE 0	The CAS Adjustment Amount cannot be zero (0).
All files submitted	BILLING PROVIDER LOOP IS MISSING	The Billing Provider Loop must be present.

## 7.0 Front-End Edits

CMS provides a list of the edits used to process all encounters submitted to the EDFES. The CMS 5010 Professional Edits Spreadsheet identifies active and deactivated edits for MAOs and other entities to reference for programming their internal systems and reconciling EDFES Acknowledgement Reports. The edits for Professional DME submission are identified in the column labeled “CEDI”.

The CMS 5010 Professional Edits Spreadsheet provides documentation regarding edit rules that explain how to identify an EDFES edit and the associated logic. The CMS 5010 Professional Edits Spreadsheet also provides a change log that lists the revision history for edit updates.

MAOs and other entities are able to access the CMS 5010 Professional Edits Spreadsheet on the CMS website at

<https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Transmittals/>

1. Select the current year in the left navigation column (e.g., 2015 Transmittals)
2. Key in 'EDI Front End Updates' in the 'Filter On' box
3. Select the most current transmittal to obtain the latest versions of the CEM Edits Spreadsheets
4. Click on the link(s) under 'Downloads' at the bottom of the page

### 7.1 Deactivated Front-End Edits

Several CEM edits currently active in the CMS 5010 Professional Edits Spreadsheet will be deactivated in order to ensure that syntactically correct encounters pass front-edit editing. Table 11 provides a list of the deactivated EDFES CEM edits. The edit reference column provides the exact reference for the deactivated edits. The edit description column provides the Claim Status Category Code (CSCC), the Claim Status Code (CSC), and the Entity Identifier Code (EIC), when applicable. The notes column provides a description of the edit reason. MAOs and other entities should reference the WPC website at [www.wpc-edi.com](http://www.wpc-edi.com) for a complete listing of all CSCCs and CSCs.

**Note:** The EDFES has deactivated all DME translator and CEM level edits pertaining to balancing. The deactivated balancing edits are now included in Table 11.

**TABLE 11 – 837-P DME DEACTIVATED FRONT-END EDITS**

EDIT REFERENCE	EDIT DESCRIPTION	EDIT NOTES
X222.087.2010AA.NM109.030	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 732: "Information submitted inconsistent with billing guidelines CSC 560: "Entity's Additional/Secondary Identifier EIC: PR Payer	Valid NPI Crosswalk must be available for this edit.
X222.087.2010AA.NM109.050 X222.140.2010BB.REF02.075	CSCC A8: "Acknowledgement / Rejected for relational field in error" CSC 496 "Submitter not approved for electronic claim submissions on behalf of this entity." EIC: 85 Billing Provider	This Fee for Service edit validates the NPI and submitter ID number to ensure the submitter is authorized to submit on the provider's behalf. Encounter data cannot use this validation as we validate the plan number and submitter ID to ensure the submitter is authorized to submit on the plan's behalf.
X222.091.2010AA.N301.070 X222.091.2010AA.N302.060	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 503: "Entity's Street Address" EIC: 85 Billing Provider	Remove edit check for 2010AA N3 P O Box variations when ISA08 = 80887 (Professional payer code).
X222.094.2010AA.REF02.050	CSCC A8: "Acknowledgement / Rejected for relational field in error" CSC 562: "Entity's National Provider Identifier (NPI)" CSC 128: "Entity's tax id" EIC: 85 Billing Provider	Valid NPI Crosswalk must be available for this edit.
X222.138.2010BB.REF.010	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 732: "Information submitted inconsistent with billing guidelines." CSC 560: "Entity's Additional/Secondary Identifier." EIC: PR "Payer"	This REF Segment is used to capture the Plan number, as this is unique to encounter data submission only. The CEM has the following logic that is applied: Non-VA claims: 2010BB.REF with REF01 = "2U", "EI", "FY" or "NF" must not be present. VA claims: 2010BB.REF with REF01 = "EI", "FY" or "NF" must not be present. This edit needs to remain off in order for the submitter to send in his plan number.
X222.157.2300.CLM05-3.020	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 535: "Claim Frequency Code"	Fee for Service does not allow a claim to come in with a frequency type other than 1 (Original Claim). This Edit is turned off for Encounter so that submitters can submit a frequency type = 7 Replacement and frequency type = 8 Deletion
X222.196.2300.REF.010	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..."	Fee for service does not allow a REF segment containing a claim control number to be used



EDIT REFERENCE	EDIT DESCRIPTION	EDIT NOTES
	CSC 732: "Information submitted inconsistent with billing guidelines." CSC 464: "Payer Assigned Claim Control Number."	when sending a corrected (Frequency type = 7) or deleted (Frequency type = 8) claim. 2300.REF with REF01 = "F8" must not be present. This edit needs to remain off in order for the submitter to send the claim control number they are trying to correct or delete.
X222.262.2310B.NM109.030	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 562: "Entity's National Provider Identifier (NPI)" EIC: 82 Rendering Provider	Valid NPI Crosswalk must be available for this edit.
X222.351.2400.SV101-7.020	"CSCC A8": "Acknowledgement / Rejected for relational field in error" CSC 306 Detailed description of service" 2400.SV101-7 must be present when 2400.SV101-2 is present on the table of procedure codes that require a description.	When using a not otherwise classified or generic HCPCS procedure code, the CEM is editing for a more descriptive meaning of the procedure code. For example, the submitter is using J3490. The description for this HCPCS is Not Otherwise Classified (NOC) Code.
X222.430.2420A.NM109.030	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 562: "Entity's National Provider Identifier (NPI)" EIC 82 "Rendering Provider"	2420A.NM109 must be a valid NPI on the Crosswalk when evaluated with 1000B.NM109.
X222.157.2300.CLM02.090	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 400: "Claim is out of Balance" CSC 672: "Payer's payment information is out of balance"	2300.CLM02 must equal the sum of all 2320 and 2430 CAS amounts and the 2320 AMT02 (AMT01=D).
X222.157.2300.CLM02.070	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement/Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 178: "Submitted Charges"	2300.CLM02 must equal the sum of all 2400.SV102 amounts.
X222.305.2320.AMT02.060	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement/Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 672: "Other Payer's payment information is out of balance" CSC 286: Other payer's Explanation of Benefits/payment information	2320 AMT02 must equal the sum of all existing 2430.SVD02 payer paid amounts (when the value in 2430.SVD01 is the same as the value in 2330B.NM109) minus the sum of all claim level adjustments (2320 CAS adjustment amounts) for the same payer. NOTE: Perform this edit only when 2430SVD segments are present for this 2320-2330x iteration's payer.

## 7.2 Temporarily Deactivated Front-End Edits

Table 12 provides a list of the temporarily deactivated EDFES DME CEM balancing edits in order to ensure that encounters that require balancing of monetary fields will pass front-end editing.

**Note:** The DME edits listed in Table 12 are not all-inclusive and are subject to amendment.

**TABLE 12 – 837-P DME TEMPORARILY DEACTIVATED CEM EDITS**

EDIT REFERENCE	EDIT DESCRIPTION	EDIT NOTES
X222.116.2000B.SBR03.004	IK403 = I13: "Implementation Dependent "Not Used" Data Element Present"	
X222.116.2000B.SBR03.006	CSCC A8: Acknowledgement/Rejected for relational field in error CSC 163: Entity's Policy Number CSC 732: Information submitted inconsistent with billing guidelines EIC IL: Subscriber	
X222.116.2000B.SBR04.005 X222.116.2000B.SBR04.007	CSCC A8: Acknowledgement/Rejected for relational field in error CSC 663: Entity's Group Name CSC 732: Information submitted inconsistent with billing guidelines EIC IL: Subscriber	
X222.094.2010AA.REF02.040	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement /Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 128: "Entity's tax id" EIC: 85 Billing Provider	2010AA.REF02 must be nine digits with no punctuation.
X222.305.2320.AMT.040	CSCC A7: Acknowledgement/Rejected for Invalid Information CSC 41: Special handling required at payer site CSC 286: Other Payer's Explanation of Benefits/payment information CSC 732: Information submitted inconsistent with billing guidelines	
X222.325.2330B.DTP.030	IK304 = 2: "Unexpected Segment"	If 2330B.NM1 is present and 2340.DTP with DTP01 = "573" is not present, 2330B.DTP may be present.
X222.351.2400.SV102.060	CSCC A7: "Acknowledgement/Rejected for Invalid Information..." CSC 400: "Claim is out of balance: CSC 583: "Line Item Charge Amount" CSC 643: "Service Line Paid Amount"	SV102 must equal the sum of all payer amounts paid found in 2430 SVD02 and the sum of all line adjustments found in 2430 CAS Adjustment Amounts.

## 7.3 New EDFES Edits

Table 13 provides a list of EDFES Professional-DME CEM edits recently added that may impact encounter processing.

**TABLE 13 – 837 NEW PROFESSIONAL DME CEM EDITS**

**Note:** Table 13 will not be provided when there are no relevant enhancements implemented for the current release of the CMS EDS Companion Guides.

## 8.0 Duplicate Logic

---

In order to ensure encounters submitted are not duplicates of encounters previously submitted, header and detail level duplicate checking will be performed. If the header and/or detail level duplicate checking that determines the file is a duplicate, the file will reject, and an error report will be returned to the submitter.

### 8.1 Header Level

When a file (ISA/IEA) is received, the system assigns a hash total to the file based on the entire ISA/IEA interchange. The EDS uses hash totals to ensure the accuracy of processed data. The hash total is a total of several fields or data in a file, including fields not normally used in calculations, such as the account number. At various stages in processing, the hash total is recalculated and compared with the original. If a file comes in later in a different submission, or a different submission of the same file, and gets the same hash total, it will reject as a duplicate.

In addition to the hash total, the system also references the values collectively populated in ISA13, GS06, ST02, and BHT03. If two (2) files are submitted with the exact same values populated as a previously submitted and accepted file, the file will be considered a duplicate and the error message CSCC - A8 = Acknowledgement / Rejected for relational field in error, CSC -746 = Duplicate Submission will be provided on the 277CA.

### 8.2 Detail Level

Once an encounter is processed in the EDPS, it is stored in an internal repository, the Encounter Operational Data Store (EODS). If a new encounter is submitted that matches specific values on another stored encounter, the encounter will be rejected and considered a duplicate encounter. The encounter will be returned to the submitter with an error message identifying it as a duplicate encounter. Currently, the following values are the minimum set of items being used for matching an encounter in the EODS:

- Beneficiary Demographic
  - Health Insurance Claim Number (HICN)
- Date of Service
- Place of Service (2 digits)
- **Type of Service – not submitted on the 837-P, but is derived from data captured**
- Procedure Code(s) and 4 modifiers
- Rendering Provider NPI
- Charged (Billed Amount)
- Paid Amount (As populated at both the Header and Detail Levels)\*

\* Paid Amounts by the MAO and other entity will only be used in the duplicate validation logic.

## 9.0 837 Professional DME Business Cases

---

In accordance with 45 CFR 160.103 of the HIPAA, Protected Health Information (PHI) is not included in the 837-P business cases. As a result, the business cases have been populated with fictitious information about the Subscriber, MAO and provider(s). The business cases reflect 2012 dates of service.

Although the business cases are provided as examples of possible encounter submissions, MAOs and other entities must populate valid data in order to successfully pass translator and CEM level editing. MAOs and other entities should direct questions regarding the contents of the EDS Test Case Specifications to [encounterdata@cms.hhs.gov](mailto:encounterdata@cms.hhs.gov).

**Note:** The business cases identified in the CMS EDS 837-P DME Companion Guide indicate paid amounts and DTP segments at the line level.

The Adjudication or Payment Date (DTP 573 segment) must follow the paid amount. For example, if the paid amount is populated at the claim level, the DTP 573 segment must be populated at the claim level. If the paid amount is populated at the line level, the DTP 573 segment must be populated at the line level.

## 9.1 DME Supplier Encounter – Oxygen Services

**Business Scenario 1:** Patient/subscriber, Mary Dough, visited Dr. Shannon Wilson, who prescribed Mary Dough with oxygen service rental from Oxygen Supply Company due to chronic airway obstruction. Happy Health Plan is the MAO.

### File String 1:

ISA\*00\* \*00\* \*ZZ\*ENH9999 \*ZZ\*80887 \*120430\*114  
4\*^\*00501\*200000031\*1\*P\*~  
GS\*HC\*ENH9999\*80887\*20120430\*1144\*69\*X\*005010X222A1~  
ST\*837\*0534\*005010X222A1~  
BHT\*0019\*00\*3920394930206\*20120428\*1615\*CH~  
NM1\*41\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*46\*ENH9999~  
PER\*IC\*MICAH THOMAS\*TE\*555555222~  
NM1\*40\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*46\*80887~  
HL\*1\*\*20\*1~  
NM1\*85\*2\*OXYGEN SUPPLY COMPANY\*\*\*\*\*XX\*1299999999~  
N3\*123 BREATH DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235149998~  
REF\*EI\*344232321~  
PER\*IC\*ELIZABETH SMITH\*TE\*9195551111~  
HL\*2\*1\*22\*0~  
SBR\*S\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*47\*\*\*\*MB~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*DOUGH\*MARY\*\*\*\*MI\*672148306~  
N3\*1234 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
DMG\*D8\*19390807\*F~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*PI\*80887~  
N3\*7500 SECURITY BLVD~  
N4\*BALTIMORE\*MD\*212441850~  
REF\*2U\*H9999~  
CLM\*2997677856479709654A\*260.12\*\*\*11:B:1\*Y\*A\*Y\*Y~  
HI\*BK:496\*BF:51881~  
SBR\*P\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*\*\*\*16~  
AMT\*D\*260.12~  
OI\*\*\*Y\*\*\*Y~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*DOUGH\*MARY\*\*\*\*MI\*672148306~  
N3\*1234 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*XV\*H9999~  
N3\*705 E HUGH ST~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235049998~  
REF\*T4\*Y~  
LX\*1~  
SV1\*HC:E1390:RR\*230.55\*UN\*1\*\*\*1:2~

PWK\*CT\*NS~  
CR3\*I\*MO\*99~  
DTP\*472\*RD8\*20120401-20120430~  
DTP\*463\*D8\*2012022212~  
SVD\*H9999\*230.55\*HC:E1390:RR\*1~  
DTP\*573\*D8\*20120514~  
LX\*2~  
SV1\*HC:E0431:RR\*29.57\*UN\*1\*\*\*1:2~  
PWK\*CT\*NS~  
CR3\*I\*MO\*99~  
DTP\*472\*RD8\*20120401-20120430~  
DTP\*463\*D8\*2012022212~  
SVD\*H9999\*29.57\*HC:E0431:RR\*\*1~  
DTP\*573\*D8\*20120514~  
SE\*50\*0534~  
GE\*1\*69~  
IEA\*1\*200000031~

## 9.2 DME Supplier Encounter – Capped Rental – Wheelchair

**Business Scenario 2:** Patient/subscriber, John Smith, visited Dr. Jim Fortune, who prescribed John Smith with a powered wheelchair rental from Scooter Rehab Store due to a stroke, which caused paralysis. Happy Health Plan is the MAO.

### File String 2:

ISA\*00\* \*00\* \*ZZ\*ENH9999 \*ZZ\*80887 \*120430\*114  
4\*^\*00501\*200000331\*1\*P\*~  
GS\*HC\*ENH9999\*80887\*20120430\*1144\*34\*X\*005010X222A1~  
ST\*837\*0535\*005010X222A1~  
BHT\*0019\*00\*4897574384904\*20120428\*1615\*CH~  
NM1\*41\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*46\*ENH9999~  
PER\*IC\*MICAH THOMAS\*TE\*555555222~  
NM1\*40\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*46\*80887~  
HL\*1\*\*20\*1~  
NM1\*85\*2\*SCOOTER REHAB STORE\*\*\*\*\*XX\*1239999999~  
N3\*456 TRAVEL DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235159998~  
REF\*EI\*809845839~  
PER\*IC\*ELIZABETH SMITH\*TE\*9195551111~  
HL\*2\*1\*22\*0~  
NM1\*DK\*1\*FORTUNE\*JIM\*\*\*XX\*1234589999~  
N3\*1518 STATE PARK AVENUE~  
N4\*VIRGINIA BEACH\*VA\*234539998~  
SBR\*S\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*47\*\*\*MB~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*SMITH\*JOHN\*\*\*MI\*6459482938~  
N3\*1234 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
DMG\*D8\*19460806\*M~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*PI\*80887~  
N3\*7500 SECURITY BLVD~  
N4\*BALTIMORE\*MD\*212441850~  
REF\*2U\*H9999~  
CLM\*2997677886479709654A\*378.12\*\*\*11:B:1\*Y\*A\*Y\*Y~  
HI\*BK:436\*BF:3449~  
SBR\*P\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*\*\*\*16~  
AMT\*D\*378.12~  
OI\*\*\*Y\*\*\*Y~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*SMITH\*JOHN\*\*\*MI\*6459482938~  
N3\*1234 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*XV\*H9999~  
N3\*705 E HUGH ST~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235049998~

REF\*T4\*Y~  
LX\*1~  
SV1\*HC:K0010:RR:BR:KH\*378.12\*UN\*1\*\*\*1:2~  
PWK\*CT\*NS~  
CR3\*I\*MO\*99~  
DTP\*472\*RD8\*20120401-20120430~  
DTP\*463\*D8\*2012022212~  
SVD\*H9999\*378.12\*HC:K0010:RR:BR:KH\*\*1~  
DTP\*573\*D8\*20120514~  
SE\*42\*0535~  
GE\*1\*34~  
IEA\*1\*200000331~



### 9.3 DME Supplier Encounter – Purchase – Portable Toilet

**Business Scenario 3:** Patient/subscriber, Jasmine Connors, visited Dr. Martin Stevenson, who prescribed Jasmine Connors with a commode chair from the Loucks Family Medical Supply due to a broken back. Happy Health Plan is the MAO.

**File String 3:**

ISA\*00\* \*00\* \*ZZ\*ENH9999 \*ZZ\*80887 \*120430\*114  
4\*^\*00501\*200000631\*1\*P\*~  
GS\*HC\*ENH9999\*80887\*20120430\*1144\*98\*X\*005010X222A1~  
ST\*837\*8876\*005010X222A1~  
BHT\*0019\*00\*4897574384905\*20120428\*1615\*CH~  
NM1\*41\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*46\*ENH9999~  
PER\*IC\*MICAH THOMAS\*TE\*555552222~  
NM1\*40\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*46\*80887~  
HL\*1\*\*20\*1~  
NM1\*85\*2\*LOUCKS FAMILY MEDICAL SUPPLY\*\*\*\*\*XX\*1239999999~  
N3\*459 TRAVEL DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235199998~  
REF\*EI\*809845838~  
PER\*IC\*BETTY SMITH\*TE\*9195551111~  
HL\*2\*1\*22\*0~  
SBR\*S\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*47\*\*\*\*MB~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*CONNORS\*JASMINE\*\*\*\*\*MI\*6459472938~  
N3\*1234 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
DMG\*D8\*19430812\*F~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*PI\*80887~  
N3\*7500 SECURITY BLVD~  
N4\*BALTIMORE\*MD\*212441850~  
REF\*2U\*H9999~  
CLM\*2997877886479709654A\*158.98\*\*\*11:B:1\*Y\*A\*Y\*Y~  
HI\*BK:8058~  
SBR\*P\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*\*\*\*16~  
AMT\*D\*158.98~  
OI\*\*\*Y\*\*\*Y~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*CONNORS\*JASMINE\*\*\*\*\*MI\*6459472938~  
N3\*1235 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*XV\*H9999~  
N3\*705 E HUGH ST~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235049998~  
REF\*T4\*Y~  
LX\*1~

SV1\*HC:E0170:RR:KX\*158.98\*UN\*1\*\*\*1~  
PWK\*CT\*NS~  
DTP\*472\*D8\*20120403~  
DTP\*463\*D8\*2012022212~  
CR3\*1\*MO\*99~  
SVD\*H9999\*158.98\*HC:E0170:RR:KX\*\*1~  
DTP\*573\*D8\*20120514~  
SE\*42\*8876~  
GE\*1\*98~  
IEA\*1\*200000631~

## 9.4 DME Supplier Encounter – Prosthetic Device

**Business Scenario 4:** Patient/subscriber, Kelly Anderson, visited Dr. James Washington, who prescribed Kelly Anderson with a below the knee leg prosthesis from Doctor’s Choice due to an auto accident, which was conditionally covered. Happy Health Plan is the MAO.

### File String 4:

ISA\*00\* \*00\* \*ZZ\*ENH9999 \*ZZ\*80887 \*120530\*114  
7^\*00501\*20000931\*1\*P\*:~  
GS\*HC\*ENH9999\*80887\*20120530\*1147\*98\*X\*005010X222A1~  
ST\*837\*0567\*005010X222A1~  
BHT\*0019\*00\*3920394830206\*20120530\*1147\*CH~  
NM1\*41\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*46\*ENH9999~  
PER\*IC\*MICAH THOMAS\*TE\*5555552222~  
NM1\*40\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*46\*80887~  
HL\*1\*\*20\*1~  
NM1\*85\*2\*DOCTORS CHOICE\*\*\*\*\*XX\*1299999799~  
N3\*129 DOCTOR DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235189998~  
REF\*EI\*456769032~  
PER\*IC\*BETTY SMITH\*TE\*9195551111~  
HL\*2\*1\*22\*0~  
SBR\*S\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*47\*\*\*MB~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*ANDERSON\*KELLY\*\*\*\*\*MI\*672248306~  
N3\*1237 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
DMG\*D8\*19401224\*F~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*PI\*80887~  
N3\*7500 SECURITY BLVD~  
N4\*BALTIMORE\*MD\*212441850~  
REF\*2U\*H9999~  
CLM\*2997677858479709654A\*2245.89\*\*\*11:B:1\*Y\*A\*Y\*Y~  
HI\*BK:V4975~  
SBR\*P\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*\*\*\*16~  
AMT\*D\*2245.89~  
OI\*\*\*Y\*\*\*Y~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*ANDERSON\*KELLY\*\*\*\*\*MI\*672248306~  
N3\*1237 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*XV\*H9999~  
N3\*705 E HUGH ST~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235049998~  
REF\*T4\*Y~  
LX\*1~  
SV1\*HC:L5105:RR\*2245.89\*UN\*1\*\*\*1~

PWK\*CT\*NS~  
CR3\*I\*MO\*99~  
DTP\*472\*D8\*20120403~  
DTP\*463\*D8\*2012022212~  
SVD\*H9999\*2245.89\*HC:L5105:RR\*\*1~  
DTP\*573\*D8\*20120514~  
SE\*42\*0567~  
GE\*1\*98~  
IEA\*1\*200000931~

## 9.5 DME Supplier Encounter – Bathtub Rail

**Business Scenario 5:** Patient/subscriber, Zaffer Rahman, visited Dr. Jamar Lee, who prescribed Zaffer Rahman with a bathtub rail from Medical Supply Corporation due to rheumatoid arthritis. Happy Health Plan is the MAO that denied the claim because the safety item was not included in the benefit structure.

### File String 5:

```
ISA*00*      *00*      *ZZ*ENH9999      *ZZ*80887      *120530*114
7*^*00501*700000459*1*P*~
GS*HC*ENH9999*80887*20120530*1147*22*X*005010X222A1~
ST*837*0119*005010X222A1~
BHT*0019*00*3920304830206*20120530*1147*CH~
NM1*41*2*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN*****46*ENH9999~
PER*IC*MICAH THOMAS*TE*5555552222~
NM1*40*2*EDSCMS*****46*80887~
HL*1**20*1~
NM1*85*2*MEDICAL SUPPLY CORPORATION*****XX*1299699799~
N3*129 DOCTOR DRIVE~
N4*NORFOLK*VA*235189998~
REF*EI*456969032~
PER*IC*BETTY SMITH*TE*9195551111~
HL*2*1*22*0~
SBR*S*18*XYZ1234567**47****MB~
NM1*IL*1*RAHMAN*ZAFFER****MI*672248306~
N3*1230 STATE DRIVE~
N4*NORFOLK*VA*235099998~
DMG*D8*19411224*M~
NM1*PR*2*EDSCMS*****PI*80887~
N3*7500 SECURITY BLVD~
N4*BALTIMORE*MD*212441850~
REF*2U*H9999~
CLM*2997677898479709654A*38.98***11:B:1*Y*A*Y*Y~
HI*BK:7140~
SBR*P*18*XYZ1234567*****16~
CAS*CO*204*38.98
AMT*D*0.00~
OI***Y***Y~
NM1*IL*1*RAHMAN*ZAFFER****MI*672248306~
N3*1230 STATE DRIVE~
N4*NORFOLK*VA*235099998~
NM1*PR*2*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN*****XV*H9999~
N3*705 E HUGH ST~
N4*NORFOLK*VA*235049998~
REF*T4*Y~
LX*1~
```

SV1\*HC:E0240:NU\*38.98\*UN\*1\*\*\*1~  
PWK\*CT\*NS~  
CR3\*I\*MO\*99~  
DTP\*472\*D8\*20120403~  
DTP\*463\*D8\*2012022212~  
SVD\*H9999\*0.00\*HC:E0240:NU\*\*1~  
DTP\*573\*D8\*20120514~  
SE\*43\*0119~  
GE\*1\*22~  
IEA\*1\*700000459~

## 9.6 DME Supplier Encounter - Parenteral

**Business Scenario 6:** Patient/subscriber, Hiro Hernandez, visited Dr. Kim Lee, who prescribed Hiro Hernandez with TPN from Doctor's Best due to dysphagia. Happy Health Plan is the MAO.

### File String 6:

ISA\*00\* \*00\* \*ZZ\*ENH9999 \*ZZ\*80887 \*120530\*114  
7\*^\*00501\*240000459\*1\*P\*~  
GS\*HC\*ENH9999\*80887\*20120530\*1147\*42\*X\*005010X222A1~  
ST\*837\*1372\*005010X222A1~  
BHT\*0019\*00\*3927304830206\*20120530\*1147\*CH~  
NM1\*41\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*46\*ENH9999~  
PER\*IC\*MICAH THOMAS\*TE\*555552222~  
NM1\*40\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*46\*80887~  
HL\*1\*\*20\*1~  
NM1\*85\*2\*DOCTORS BEST\*\*\*\*\*XX\*1299899799~  
N3\*130 DOCTOR DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235189998~  
REF\*EI\*456969032~  
PER\*IC\*BETTY SMITH\*TE\*9195551111~  
HL\*2\*1\*22\*0~  
SBR\*S\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*47\*\*\*\*MB~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*HERNANDEZ\*HIRO\*\*\*\*MI\*673248306~  
N3\*1230 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
DMG\*D8\*19410924\*M~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*EDSCMS\*\*\*\*\*PI\*80887~  
N3\*7500 SECURITY BLVD~  
N4\*BALTIMORE\*MD\*212441850~  
REF\*2U\*H9999~  
CLM\*2997697898479709654A\*248.99\*\*\*11:B:1\*Y\*A\*Y\*Y~  
HI\*BK:78720~  
SBR\*P\*18\*XYZ1234567\*\*\*\*\*16~  
AMT\*D\*248.99~  
OI\*\*\*Y\*\*\*Y~  
NM1\*IL\*1\*HERNANDEZ\*HIRO\*\*\*\*MI\*673248306~  
N3\*1230 STATE DRIVE~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235099998~  
NM1\*PR\*2\*HAPPY HEALTH PLAN\*\*\*\*\*XV\*H9999~  
N3\*705 E HUGH ST~  
N4\*NORFOLK\*VA\*235049998~  
REF\*T4\*Y~  
LX\*1~  
SV1\*HC:B4193:BR\*248.99\*UN\*1\*\*\*1~  
PWK\*CT\*NS~

CR3\*I\*MO\*99~  
DTP\*472\*D8\*20120403~  
DTP\*463\*D8\*2012022212~  
SVD\*H9999\*248.99\*HC:B4193:BR\*\*1~  
DTP\*573\*D8\*20120514~  
SE\*42\*1372~  
GE\*1\*42~  
IEA\*1\*240000459~



## 10.0 Encounter Data DME Processing and Pricing System Edits

---

After a DME encounter passes translator and CEM level editing and receives an ICN on a 277CA, the EDFES then transfers the encounter to the Encounter Data DME Processing and Pricing System (EDDPPS) where editing, processing, pricing, and storage occur. In order to assist MAOs and other entities in submission of encounter data through the EDDPPS, CMS has provided the current list of the EDDPPS edits in Table 14.

**Note:** The edit descriptions listed in Table 14 have been revised to identify a maximum of 41 characters in order to display a more comprehensive explanation of edits on the MAO-002 Reports.

The EDDPPS edits are organized into four (4) different categories, as provided in Table 14, Column 2. The EDDPPS edit categories include the following:

- Validation
- Beneficiary
- Reference
- Duplicate

Table 14, Column 3 identifies two (2) edit dispositions: Informational and Reject. Informational edits will cause the encounter to be flagged; however, the Informational edit will not cause processing and/or pricing to cease. Reject edits will cause an encounter to stop processing and/or pricing, and the MAO or other entity must resubmit the encounter through the EDFES. The encounter must then pass translator and CEM level editing prior to transferring the data to the EDDPPS for reprocessing. The EDDPPS edit description, as found in Table 14, Column 4, is included on the EDPS transaction reports to provide further information for the MAO or other entity to identify the specific reason for the edit generated.

If there is no reject edit at the header level and at least one (1) of the lines is accepted, then the encounter is accepted. If there is no reject edit at the header level, but all lines reject, then the encounter will reject. If there is a reject edit at the header level, the encounter will reject.

Table 14 reflects only the currently programmed EDDPPS edits. MAOs and other entities should note that, as testing progresses, it may be determined that the current edits require modifications, additional edits may be necessary, or edits may be deactivated. MAOs and other entities must always reference the most recent version of the CMS EDS 837-P DME Companion Guide to determine the current edits in the EDDPPS.

**TABLE 14 – ENCOUNTER DATA DME PROCESSING AND PRICING SYSTEM (EDDPPS) EDITS**

<b>EDDPPS EDIT#</b>	<b>EDDPPS EDIT CATEGORY</b>	<b>EDDPPS EDIT DISPOSITION</b>	<b>EDDPPS EDIT ERROR MESSAGE</b>
00010	Validation	Reject	From DOS Greater Than TCN Date
00011	Validation	Reject	Missing DOS in Header/Line
00012	Validation	Reject	DOS Prior to 2012
00025	Validation	Reject	Through DOS After Receipt Date
00265	Validation	Reject	Correct/Replace or Void ICN Not in EODS
00030	Validation	Reject	ICD-10 Dx Not Allowed
00035	Validation	Reject	ICD-9 Dx Not Allowed
00699	Validation	Reject	Void Must Match Original
00755	Validation	Reject	Void Encounter Already Void/Adjusted
00760	Validation	Reject	Adjusted Encounter Already Void/Adjusted
00762	Validation	Reject	Unable to Void Rejected Encounter
00764	Validation	Reject	Original Must Be Chart Review to Void
00765	Validation	Reject	Original Must Be Chart Review Encounter to Adjust
00775	Validation	Reject	Unable to Adjust Rejected Encounter
00780	Validation	Reject	Adjustment Must Match Original
00785	Validation	Reject	Linked Encounter Not in EODS
00790	Validation	Reject	Linked Encounter is Voided/Adjusted
00795	Validation	Reject	Linked Encounter is Rejected
00800	Validation	Reject	Parent ICN Not Allowed for Original
00805	Validation	Reject	Deleted Diagnosis Code Not Allowed
02106	Beneficiary	Informational	Invalid Beneficiary Last Name
02110	Beneficiary	Reject	Beneficiary HICN Not on File
02112	Beneficiary	Reject	DOS After Beneficiary DOD
02120	Beneficiary	Reject	Beneficiary Gender Mismatch
02125	Beneficiary	Reject	Beneficiary DOB Mismatch
02240	Beneficiary	Reject	Beneficiary Not Enrolled in MAO for DOS
02256	Beneficiary	Reject	Beneficiary Not Part C Eligible for DOS
03015	Reference	Informational	HCPCS Code Invalid for DOS
03101	Validation	Informational	Invalid Gender for CPT/HCPCS
03102	Pricing	Informational	Invalid Provider Type/Specialty
30135	Reference	Informational	Gender Mismatch for Dx Code
30261	Validation	Informational	Referring Physician NPI Required
31000	Validation	Informational	HCPCS Require LT or RT Modifier
31100	Validation	Informational	Invalid Dx Code For CPT/HCPCS
31105	Validation	Informational	Invalid Modifier AY/AX Combination
98315	Duplicate	Reject	Linked Chart Review Duplicate
98320	Duplicate	Reject	Chart Review Duplicate
98325	Duplicate	Reject	Service Line(s) Duplicated

## 10.1 EDDPPS Edits Enhancements Implementation Dates

As the EDS matures, the EDPS may require enhancements to the EDDPPS editing logic. As these enhancements occur, CMS will provide the updated information (i.e., disposition changes and activation or deactivation of an edit). Table 15 provides MAOs and other entities with the implementation dates for enhancements made to the EDDPPS since the last release of the CMS EDS 837-P DME Companion Guide.

**TABLE 15 – EDDPPS EDITS ENHANCEMENTS IMPLEMENTATION DATES**

EDIT	EDIT DISPOSITION	EDIT DESCRIPTION	ENHANCEMENT	ENHANCEMENT DATE
00800	Reject	Parent ICN Not Allowed for Original	New Edit Implemented	7/8/16
00805	Reject	Deleted Diagnosis Code Not Allowed	New Edit Implemented	7/8/16

**Note:** Table 15 will not be provided when there are no enhancements implemented for the current release of the CMS EDS Companion Guides.

## 10.2 EDDPPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies

In order to assist MAOs and other entities with the prevention of potential errors in their encounter data submission and with resolution of edits received on the generated MAO-002 reports, CMS has provided comprehensive strategies and scenarios. CMS has identified the strategies and scenarios in three (3) phases.

### 10.2.1 EDPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies – Phase I: Frequently Generated EDDPPS Edits

Edits previously identified in this section have been deactivated and are no longer required for submission of DME encounter data. Table 16 has been removed from the CMS EDS 837-P DME Companion Guide.

**TABLE 16: – EDPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE I (Removed)**

### 10.2.2 EDDPPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies – Phase II

Table 17 outlines Phase II for edits mutually generated in all subsystems of the EDPS (Professional, Institutional, and DME).

**TABLE 17 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE II**

Edit #	Edit Description	Edit Disposition	Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention
00010	From DOS Greater Than TCN Date	Reject	Encounter must have a DOS prior to submission date.

**Scenario:** Perfect Health of America submitted an encounter to the EDS on 5/10/2012 for a hospital bed prescribed to Sharona Wonder on DOS 5/12/2012. The encounter was rejected because the “from” DOS was after the date of encounter submission.

**TABLE 17 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE II (CONTINUED)**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00011</b>	Missing DOS in Header/Line	Reject	Encounter header and line levels must include “from” and “through” DOS (procedure or service start date).

**Scenario:** Chloe Pooh was admitted to Regional Port Hospital on 10/ 21/2012 for a turbinectomy and was released on 10/22/2012. Regional Port Hospital submitted a claim to Robbins Health for the surgical procedure. Robbins Health submitted the encounter to the EDS, but did not include the “through” DOS of 10/22/2012.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00012</b>	DOS Prior to 2012	Reject	Encounter must contain 2012 “through” DOS for each line.

**Scenario:** Ion Health submitted an encounter with DOS from 12/2/2011 through 12/28/2011, for an inpatient admission at Better Health Hospital. EDS will only process encounters that include 2012 “through” DOS or later.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00025</b>	Through DOS After Receipt Date	Reject	Encounter submitted with a service line “through” DOS that occurred after the date the encounter was submitted.

**Scenario:** Leverage Community Health submitted an encounter on 8/23/2012 for a myringotomy performed by Dr. Earwell. The service line DOS for the procedure was on 8/29/2012. The encounter was rejected because the encounter was submitted to the EDS prior to the DOS listed on the encounter.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00265</b>	Correct/Replace or Void ICN Not in EODS	Reject	Replacement or void encounter submitted with an invalid ICN. Verify accuracy of ICN on the returned MAO-002 report.

**Scenario:** Chance Medical Services submitted an encounter to the EDS and received an MAO-002 report with an accepted ICN of 123456789. The encounter required replacement. Chance Medical Services submitted a replacement encounter using ICN 234567899. The replacement encounter was rejected because there was no original record in the EDS for this ICN with the same Submitter ID.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00699</b>	Void Must Match Original	Reject	When submitting a void encounter, MAOs must match the linked ICN, HICN, Last Name, First Name, POS, Submitted Charges, DOS, Payer ID, and the service lines of an accepted encounter stored in the EODS. <b>Note:</b> The EDPS will validate the beneficiary’s demographic data (HICN, Last Name, First Name) according to the Medicare Beneficiary Database (MBD), as well as validate the beneficiary’s Billing Provider NPI and Rendering Provider NPI (if applicable) prior to posting edit 00699.

**Scenario:** Torchlight Healthcare submitted an encounter for oxygen tank and supplies for Gracie Macwell containing five (5) service lines. Torchlight Healthcare then submitted a void encounter for the same oxygen tank and supplies; however, the void encounter contained only four (4) of the five (5) original service lines. Torchlight Healthcare received an MAO-002 report with edit 00699 for the void encounter because one (1) of the service lines from the original encounter was not included on the void encounter.

**TABLE 17 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE II (CONTINUED)**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>02106</b>	Invalid Beneficiary Last Name	Informational	Verify that last name populated on the encounter matches the last name listed in CMS systems.

**Scenario:** Blue Skies Rural Health submitted an encounter for patient Ina Batiste-Rhogin. The CMS systems listed the patient as Ina Rhogin. The EDPS processed and accepted the encounter with an informational flag indicating that the name provided on the encounter was not identical to the name listed in the CMS systems.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>02110</b>	Beneficiary HICN Not On File	Reject	Verify that HICN populated on the encounter is valid in CMS systems.

**Scenario:** Bright Medical Center submitted a claim to Sunshine Complete Health for an office visit for Mr. Everett Banks for DOS of 5/26/2012. Sunshine Complete Health submitted an encounter to the EDS. The EDS rejected the encounter with edit 02110, because the HICN populated on the encounter was not on file in the CMS systems.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>02112</b>	DOS After Beneficiary DOD	Reject	Verify that DOS submitted is accurate and does not exceed the beneficiary DOD.

**Scenario:** Mountain Hill Health submitted an encounter for an inpatient admission for Ray Rayson for DOS of 7/15/2012. EDPS was unable to process the encounter because the CMS systems indicated Mr. Rayson expired on 7/13/2012.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>02120</b>	Beneficiary Gender Mismatch	Reject	Verify that gender populated on the encounter is accurate and matches gender listed in CMS systems.

**Scenario:** Jenna Jorgineski went to Lollipop Lab for a sleep study on 9/4/2012. Lollipop Lab submitted a claim for the sleep study to Capital City Community Care with Ms. Jorgineski’s gender identified as “male”. Capital City Community Care submitted the encounter. The EDS processed and accepted the encounter. The MAO-002 report was returned with edit 02120, because Ms. Jorgineski’s gender was listed as “female” in the CMS systems.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>02125</b>	Beneficiary DOB Mismatch	Reject	Verify that DOB populated on the encounter matches DOB listed in CMS systems. The EDPS will accept these encounters, within plus or minus two (2) years a beneficiary’s birth year.  <b>Note:</b> CMS anticipates that the change in this edit will be short-term and expects plan sponsors to improve their submission of DOBs.

**Scenario:** Swan Health submitted an encounter to the EDS for Joe Blough on 3/3/2012. The encounter listed Mr. Blough’s DOB as 12/13/1940. The CMS systems listed Mr. Blough’s DOB as 12/13/1937. The EDS rejected the encounter and returned the MAO-002 report to Swan Health with edit 02125 due to the conflicting dates of birth beyond the two (2)-year variance.

**TABLE 17 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE II (CONTINUED)**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>02240</b>	Beneficiary Not Enrolled In MAO For DOS	Reject	Verify that beneficiary was enrolled in your contract during DOS on the encounter. If the beneficiary is not enrolled in your contract for the encounter DOS, do not submit the encounter. Encounters should only be submitted for DOS in which the beneficiary is enrolled in your contract.

**Scenario:** Gabrielle Boyd was admitted to Faith Hospital for an appendectomy on 7/11/2012 and was discharged on 7/14/2012. Faith Hospital submitted the claim for the hospital admission to Adams Healthcare. Adams Healthcare adjudicated the claim and submitted an encounter to the EDS on 7/12/2012. Ms. Boyd’s effective date with Adams Healthcare was 7/1/2011. The EDS returned an MAO-002 report to Adams Health with edit 02240 because Ms. Boyd was not enrolled with the health plan for the DOS submitted by Faith Hospital.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>02256</b>	Beneficiary Not Part C Eligible For DOS	Reject	Verify that beneficiary was enrolled in Part C for DOS listed on the encounter. Encounters should not be submitted for beneficiaries not enrolled with the contract for the DOS on the received claim. Encounters should only be submitted for DOS for which the beneficiary is actually enrolled with the contract.

**Scenario:** On 7/4/2012, Gail Williams has severe chest pains and goes to the emergency room for a chest x-ray at Underwood Memorial Hospital. At the time of the emergency room visit, Ms. Williams only has Part A Medicare coverage, because her Part C coverage is not effective until 8/1/2012. Underwood Memorial submits the claim to AmeriHealth and the claim is adjudicated under Part A Medicare. AmeriHealth submits an encounter to the EDS, which is rejected with edit 02256, because Ms. Williams is not covered under Part C Medicare for the DOS.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>03015</b>	HCPCS Code Invalid for DOS	Informational	Prior to encounter submission, the submitter should verify that the procedure code is valid/effective for the DOS populated on the encounter.

**Scenario:** Oren Davis goes to Independent Lab for a urinalysis on 2/24/2012. Independent Lab submits the claim to World Healthcare with a procedure code of 81000. As of 8/1/2011, procedure code 81004 is no longer a valid procedure code. World Health adjudicates the claim and submits the encounter to the EDS. World Health receives an MAO-002 report with edit 03015 because the procedure code was not valid on the DOS.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>03101</b>	Invalid Gender for CPT/HCPCS	Informational	Verify that the gender populated on the encounter is accurate. Ensure that the beneficiary’s gender is appropriate for the CPT/HCPCS code provided

**Scenario:** True Blue General Hospital submitted a claim to Valley View Health for Ms. Clara Bell with CPT code 54530. Valley View adjudicated the claim and submitted an encounter. Valley View received an MAO-002 report with edit 03101 because the procedure identified for Ms. Bell was an orchiectomy, which is routinely performed for a male.

**TABLE 17 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE II (CONTINUED)**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>03102</b>	Invalid Provider Type/Specialty	Informational	The EDPS derives the Provider Specialty based on Provider’s address. Ensure the correct Provider address is included on the encounter relevant to the services rendered.

**Scenario:** Revive Center is an Independent Diagnostic Testing Center (provider specialty code 47) that contains a Mammography Screening Center (provider specialty code 45). Routine diagnostic tests were performed on Mr. Keene; however, the tests were billed under the location address for Provider Specialty code 45 rather than 47. The EDPS will post error code 03102 for this encounter due to the use of the wrong specialty code on the encounter.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>98325</b>	Service Line(s) Duplicated	Reject	Verify encounter was not previously submitted and/or the service line does not contain the exact same data elements as a previously submitted service line on the same encounter ( <i>Refer to Section 8.0 – Duplicate Logic in this Companion Guide for duplicate logic validation elements.</i> ).

**Scenario:** Sanford Health Systems submitted an encounter on 6/15/2015 for a claim received from Skye Medics a leg prosthetic. Skye Medics resubmitted the claim to correct the office point of contact. Sanford Health submitted a replacement encounter to CMS and received error code 98325 because none of the data elements validated by the EDPS duplicate logic were changed from the previously submitted encounter. To correct the office point of contact only, Sanford Health Systems would need to void the previously submitted encounter and submit a new original encounter.

### 10.2.3 EDDPPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies – Phase III: General EDDPPS Edits

Table 18 outlines Phase III for the remaining EDDPPS edits generated on the MAO-002 Encounter Data Processing Status Reports.

**TABLE 18 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE III**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00755</b>	Void Encounter Already Void/Adjusted	Reject	Submitter has previously voided an encounter and is attempting to void the same encounter. Submitter should review returned MAO-002 reports to confirm processing of the voided encounter prior to resubmission of the void.

**Scenario:** Happy Trails Health Plan submitted a void encounter on 10/10/2012. Happy Trails Health Plan voided the same encounter, in error, on 10/15/2012, prior to receiving the MAO-002 report for the initial void encounter, which was returned on 10/16/2012. The MAO-002 report for the subsequent voided encounter was returned with edit 00755 due to the submission of the second void encounter.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00762</b>	Unable to Void Rejected Encounter	Reject	Submitter is attempting to void a previously rejected encounter. Submitter should review returned MAO-002 reports to confirm the rejected encounter.

**Scenario:** On 7/20/2012, Hero Health Plan submitted an encounter with an invalid HICN. On 7/26/2012, Hero Health Plan attempted to void the encounter due to the invalid HICN without referencing the MAO-002 report, dated 7/25/2012, that indicated that the encounter was rejected. On 8/1/2012, Hero Health Plan received an MAO-002 report with edit 00762 for the voided encounter because the original encounter had already been processed and rejected.

**TABLE 18 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE III (Continued)**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00764</b>	Original Must Be a Chart Review to Void	Reject	Submitter must ensure that, if the void encounter (frequency code '8') is populated with PWK01='09 and PWK02='AA', the original encounter submission was a chart review encounter populated with PWK01='09' and PWK02='AA'. The submitter must also ensure that the ICN references the initial chart review encounter, not the original full encounter.

**Scenario:** On 1/12/2013, Paisley Community Health submitted an original encounter for Mr. Jolly Jones to the EDS and received the accepted ICN of 3029683010582. On 2/2/2013, Paisley Community Health submitted a chart review encounter to the EDPS to delete a diagnosis code from the original encounter and received the accepted ICN of 5039530285074. In April 2013, Paisley Community Health performed another chart review of Mr. Jones' medical records and discovered that the service was never provided. Paisley Community Health submitted a void encounter to the EDS using the reference ICN of 3029683010582 (the original encounter ICN) and populated PWK01='09' and PWK02='AA'. The EDS rejected the encounter because the ICN referenced was for the original encounter, not the initial chart review.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00765</b>	Original Must Be a Chart Review to Adjust	Reject	Ensure that, if the replacement encounter (frequency code '7') is populated with PWK01='09 and PWK02='AA', the original encounter submission was a chart review encounter populated with PWK01='09' and PWK02='AA'. The submitter must also ensure that the ICN references the initial chart review encounter, not the original full encounter.

**Scenario:** Flashback Health performed a chart review for Prosperous Living Medical Center. Flashback Health discovered two (2) additional diagnosis codes for an encounter previously submitted for Ms. Leanne Liberty. Flashback Health submitted an initial chart review encounter using the frequency code of '7'. The EDS rejected the chart review encounter submission because initial chart review encounters should contain a frequency code '1'.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>31100</b>	Invalid Dx Code for CPT/HCPCS	Informational	Verify that the diagnosis codes submitted are appropriate for the service populated on the encounter.

**Scenario:** Beach Health submitted an encounter for a bedside drainage bag (A4357) for beneficiary, Marsha Glee with a diagnosis of 683-Acute lymphadenitis. The MAO-002 report was returned with informational edit 31100 because the diagnosis was not valid for the service provided.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>30135</b>	Gender Mismatch for Dx Code	Informational	Verify that the gender populated on the encounter matches the gender for the beneficiary in CMS systems. Ensure that the diagnosis is appropriate for the gender.

**Scenario:** GreenTrees Community Health submitted an encounter for Ms. Clara Shel with a diagnosis of 608.89-Seminal vesicle fibrosis. GreenTrees Community Health received an MAO-002 report with informational edit 30135 because the diagnosis was not valid for a female.



**TABLE 18 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE III (Continued)**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00760</b>	Adjusted Encounter Already Void/Adjusted	Reject	Submitter has previously voided an encounter and is attempting to replace the same voided encounter. Submitter should review returned MAO-002 reports to confirm processing of the voided encounter prior to resubmission of the replacement.

**Scenario:** On 8/20/2012, Pragmatic Health submitted a replacement encounter for ICN 123456789 to correct a CPT code. However, Pragmatic Health had already submitted a void for the same ICN on 8/18/2012, but had not yet received the MAO-002 report by 8/20/2012. Pragmatic Health received edit 00760 on a subsequent MAO-002 report because the EDPS had already processed the void encounter submitted on 8/18/2012.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>98315</b>	Linked Chart Review Duplicate	Reject	Linked Chart Review encounters cannot be submitted where the HICN, Associated ICN, header DOS, diagnosis code(s) and TOB contain the exact same values as another Chart Review encounter already present within the EODS.

**Scenario:** Sequoia Health Plan conducted an audit of Langhorne Hospital and discovered an encounter previously submitted to the EDS contained an unnecessary diagnosis code. On 4/01/2014, Sequoia Health Plan submitted a linked chart review encounter to the EDS containing the associated ICN of the original encounter to identify the unnecessary diagnosis code. On 5/01/2014 Sequoia Health Plan inadvertently submitted the exact same linked chart review encounter to the EDS. The EDS rejected the second submission of the linked chart review encounter because no changes were detected between the two linked chart review encounters.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>98320</b>	Chart Review Duplicate	Reject	Unlinked Chart Review encounters cannot be submitted where the HICN, header DOS, diagnosis code(s) and TOB contain the exact same values as another Chart Review encounter already present within the EODS.

**Scenario:** Ohio Health Plan conducted an audit of Cincinnati City Hospital and discovered an encounter not previously submitted to the EDS required an additional diagnosis code. On 3/15/2014, Ohio Health Plan submitted an unlinked chart review encounter to the EDS to include the additional diagnosis code. On 6/01/2014, Ohio Health Plan submitted the same unlinked chart review encounter to the EDS due to a clerical error. The EDS rejected the second submission of the unlinked chart review encounter because the EDS detected no changes between the two unlinked chart review encounters.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00030</b>	ICD-10 Dx Not Allowed	Reject	ICD-10 diagnosis codes cannot be submitted for encounters with 'From' DOS prior to 10/01/2015.

**Scenario:** Arthur Home Health submitted an encounter for Elizabeth Door with DOS from 11/15/2014 through 11/20/2014 with a primary diagnosis code of C509.19 (Malignant Neoplasm of Unspecified Site). The EDS rejected the encounter because an ICD-10 diagnosis code was reported prior to the established transition date to ICD-10 codes. The encounter must be updated with ICD-9 diagnosis code 174.9 and resubmitted to the EDS.

**TABLE 18 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE III (Continued)**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00035</b>	ICD-9 Dx Not Allowed	Reject	ICD-9 diagnosis codes cannot be submitted for encounters with 'From' DOS on or after 10/01/2015

**Scenario:** Arthur Home Health submitted an encounter for Elizabeth Door with DOS from 12/03/2015 through 12/10/2015 with a primary diagnosis code of 174.9 (Malignant Neoplasm of Breast (Female) Unspecified Site). The EDS rejected the encounter because an ICD-9 diagnosis code was reported after the established transition date to ICD-10 codes. The encounter must be updated with ICD-10 diagnosis code C509.19 and resubmitted to the EDS.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00775</b>	Unable to Adjust Rejected Encounter	Reject	MAOs cannot submit a replacement or void encounter that links to a rejected encounter stored in the EODS.

**Scenario:** Torchlight Healthcare submitted an encounter for services provided to James Miramar by Dr. Gavin, and received ICN 555555555552. The EDPS rejected the encounter due to invalid beneficiary information. Dr. Gavin's staff identified the need to adjust the payment amount, and sent the corrected payment information to Torchlight Healthcare. Torchlight Healthcare submitted the replacement encounter, containing the corrected payment amount, to the EDPS prior to reconciling the MAO-002 report that identified the original encounter as a rejected encounter. The EDPS rejected the replacement encounter because the original encounter stored in the EODS with ICN 555555555552 is also rejected.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00780</b>	Adjustment Must Match Original	Reject	When submitting a replacement or void encounter, MAOs must match the linked ICN, HICN, Last Name, First Name, Payer ID, and POS header data elements of an accepted encounter stored in the EODS. <b>Note:</b> The EDPS will validate the beneficiary's demographic data (HICN, Last Name, First Name) according to the Medicare Beneficiary Database (MBD), as well as validate the beneficiary's Billing Provider NPI prior to posting edit 00780

**Scenario:** Torchlight Healthcare submitted an encounter totaling \$250 for DME supplies provided to Ciao Bella by Dr. Gavin, and received ICN 555555555557. Dr. Gavin's staff identified the need to adjust the payment amount, and sent the corrected payment information, \$205, to Torchlight Healthcare under a new Payer ID. Torchlight Healthcare submitted the replacement encounter to the EDPS with the corrected payment information and the patient's new Payer ID. The EDPS rejected the replacement encounter because the patient's Payer ID did not match that of the stored encounter in the EODS or the MBD.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00785</b>	Linked Encounter Not in EODS	Reject	The ICN referenced in a linked chart review must match the ICN of an accepted encounter stored in the EODS.

**Scenario:** ABC Health Plan submitted an encounter for Janice Wei, and received ICN 1231234564569. As a result of a routine medical record review 6 months later, ABC Health Plan submitted a linked chart review encounter for Ms. Wei, referencing ICN 1231234564568 to add a diagnosis code. The EDPS rejected the chart review encounter because there was not an existing, accepted encounter with ICN 1231234564568 stored in the EODS.

**TABLE 18 – EDDPPS EDITS PREVENTION AND RESOLUTION STRATEGIES – PHASE III (Continued)**

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00790</b>	Linked Encounter is Voided/Adjusted	Reject	The ICN referenced in a linked chart review must <b>not</b> match the ICN of a voided encounter stored in the EODS.

**Scenario:** ABC Health Plan submitted an encounter for Emanuel Spice, and received ICN 1234567890123. ABC Health Plan discovered they submitted the encounter in error and submitted a void request to the EDS three months following the original submission. After a chart audit a year later, ABC Health Plan submitted a linked chart review encounter referencing ICN 1234567890123 to delete an incorrectly reported diagnosis code. The EDPS rejected the chart review encounter because ABC Health Plan attempted to delete a diagnosis from the voided encounter.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00795</b>	Linked Encounter is Rejected	Reject	The ICN referenced in a linked chart review must <b>not</b> match the ICN of a rejected encounter stored in the EODS.

**Scenario:** ABC Health Plan submitted an encounter for Shaunna Brookstone, and received ICN 4561234561232. The EDPS rejected the encounter due to invalid beneficiary information populated on the encounter. As a result of a routine medical record review a year later, ABC Health Plan submitted a linked chart review encounter referencing ICN 4561234561232 to add diagnoses. The EDPS rejected the chart review encounter because the EDPS previously rejected the original linked encounter stored in the EODS with ICN 4561234561232.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00800</b>	Parent ICN Not Allowed for Original	Reject	An original, non-chart review encounter should not contain a linked ICN.

**Scenario:** Southwest Health Plan submitted an original, non-chart review encounter for Samuel Anderson. The original, non-chart review encounter contained a reference to ICN 4561234561233. The EDPS rejected the encounter because an original, non-chart review encounter should not contain an ICN. The original encounter should be resubmitted without the ICN.

<b>Edit #</b>	<b>Edit Description</b>	<b>Edit Disposition</b>	<b>Comprehensive Resolution/Prevention</b>
<b>00805</b>	Deleted Diagnosis Code Not Allowed	Reject	An unlinked chart review encounter will be rejected when submitted with an indicator for deleting diagnosis code(s). <b>OR</b> A replacement chart review encounter for a previously accepted unlinked chart review encounter will be rejected when submitted with an indicator for deleting diagnosis code(s).

**Scenario 1:** Southwest Health Plan submitted an unlinked chart review encounter (i.e., a chart review encounter without an ICN reference). The original unlinked chart review contains the indicator for deleting a diagnosis code (REF01 = 'EA'/REF02 = '8'). The EDPS rejected the original, unlinked chart review encounter because no reference to an existing diagnosis code exists for deletion. **Scenario 2:** Southwest Health Plan submitted a replacement chart review encounter (frequency code '7') for a previously accepted unlinked chart review encounter (i.e., a chart review encounter without an ICN reference). The replacement includes an indicator for deleting a diagnosis code (REF01='EA'/REF 03 = '8'). The EDPS rejected the replacement chart review encounter because EDPS does not allow deletion of a diagnosis from an unlinked chart review. To delete a diagnosis code from an unlinked chart review, the plan should void the existing unlinked chart review and resubmit without the diagnosis code.

## 11.0 DME Supplier vs. Incident to Services Submission

For submission of production data, DME Incident to and DMEPOS Supplier encounter submissions will be validated according to the NPI and Payer ID only. MAOs and other entities are not required to use the DMEPOS HCPCS Fee Schedule Job to determine the DME HCPCS jurisdiction.

## 12.0 Submission of Default Data in a Limited Set of Circumstances

MAOs and other entities may submit default data in a limited set of circumstances, as identified and explained in Table 19. MAOs and other entities cannot submit default data for any circumstances other than those listed in Table 19. CMS will use this interim approach for the submission of encounter data. In each circumstance where default information is submitted, MAOs and other entities are required to indicate in Loop 2300, NTE01='ADD', NTE02 = the reason for the use of default information. If there are questions regarding appropriate submission of default encounter data, MAOs and other entities should contact CMS for clarification. CMS will provide additional guidance concerning default data, as necessary.

### 12.1 Default Data Reason Codes (DDRC)

Loop 2300, NTE02 allows for a maximum of 80 characters and one (1) iteration, which limits the submission of default data to one (1) message per encounter.

In order to allow the population of multiple default data messages in the NTE02 field, CMS will use a three (3)-digit default data reason code (DDRC), which will map to the full default data message in the EDS.

MAOs and other entities may submit multiple DDRCs with the appropriate three (3)-digit DDRC. Multiple DDRCs will be populated in a stringed sequence with no spaces or separators between each DDRC (i.e., 036040048). Table 19 provides the CMS approved situations for use of default data, the default data message, and the default data reason code.

**TABLE 19 – DEFAULT DATA**

<b>*DEFAULT DATA</b>	<b>DEFAULT DATA MESSAGE</b>	<b>DEFAULT DATA REASON CODE (NTE02)</b>
Rejected Line Extraction	REJECTED LINES CLAIM CHANGE DUE TO REJECTED LINE EXTRACTION	<b>036</b>
Medicaid Service Line Extraction	MEDICAID CLAIM CHANGE DUE TO MEDICAID SERVICE LINE EXTRACTION	<b>040</b>
EDS Acceptable Anesthesia Modifier	MODIFIER CLAIM CHANGE DUE TO EDS ACCEPTABLE ANESTHESIA MODIFIER	<b>044</b>
Default NPI for atypical providers*	NO NPI ON PROVIDER CLAIM	<b>048</b>
Default EIN for atypical providers**	NO EIN ON PROVIDER CLAIM	<b>052</b>
Chart Review Default Procedure Codes	DEFAULT PROCEDURE CODES INCLUDED IN CHART REVIEW	<b>056</b>

*DEFAULT DATA	DEFAULT DATA MESSAGE	DEFAULT DATA REASON CODE (NTE02)
True COB Default Adjudication Date	DEFAULT TRUE COB PAYMENT ADJUDICATION DATE	<b>060</b>

\*Default NPIs should only be submitted to the EDS when the provider is considered to be “atypical.” An atypical provider is defined as an individual or business that bills for services rendered but does not meet the definition of a healthcare provider according to the NPI Final Rule 45 CFR 160.103 (e.g., non-emergency transportation providers, Meals on Wheels, personal care services, etc.).

\*\* Default EIN should only be submitted to the EDS when the provider is considered “atypical.”<sup>3</sup>

### 13.0 Tier II Testing

CMS developed the Tier II testing environment to ensure that MAOs and other entities have the opportunity to test a more inclusive sampling of their data. MAOs and other entities that have obtained end-to-end certification may submit Tier II testing data.

CMS encourages MAOs and other entities to utilize the Tier II testing environment when they have questions or issues regarding edits received on EDFES Acknowledgement Reports or MAO-002 Encounter Data Processing Status reports; and when they have new submission scenarios that they wish to test prior to submitting to production.

MAOs and other entities may submit chart review, replacement, or void encounters to the Tier II testing environment only when the encounters are linked to previously submitted and accepted encounters in the Tier II testing environment.

Encounter files submitted to the Tier II testing environment must comply with the TR3, CMS Edits Spreadsheet, and the CMS EDS Companion Guides, as well as the following requirements:

- Files must be identified using the Authorization Information Qualifier data element “Additional Data Identification” in the ISA segment (ISA01= 03).
- Files must be identified using the Authorization Information data element to identify the “Tier II indicator” in the ISA segment (ISA02= 8888888888).
- Files must be identified as “Test” in the ISA segment (ISA15=T).
- Submitters may send multiple Contract IDs per file
- Submitters may send multiple files for a Contract ID, as long as each file does not exceed 2,000 encounters per Contract ID
- If any Contract ID on a given file exceeds 2,000 encounters during the processing of the file, the entire file will be returned

As with production encounter data, MAOs and other entities will receive the TA1, 999, and 277CA Acknowledgement Reports and the MAO-002 Reports.

While not required, MAOs and other entities are strongly encouraged to correct errors identified on the reports and resubmit data.

## 14.0 EDS Acronyms

Table 20 outlines a list of acronyms currently used in the EDS documentation, materials, and reports distributed to MAOs and other entities. This list is not all-inclusive and should be considered as a living document, as CMS will add acronyms as required.

**TABLE 20– EDS ACRONYMS**

<b>ACRONYM</b>	<b>DEFINITION</b>
<b>A</b>	
<b>ASC</b>	Ambulatory Surgery Center
<b>C</b>	
<b>CAH</b>	Critical Access Hospital
<b>CARC</b>	Claim Adjustment Reason Code
<b>CAS</b>	Claim Adjustment Segments
<b>CC</b>	Condition Code
<b>CCI</b>	Correct Coding Initiative
<b>CCN</b>	Claim Control Number
<b>CEM</b>	Common Edits and Enhancements Module
<b>CMG</b>	Case Mix Group
<b>CMS</b>	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
<b>CORF</b>	Comprehensive Outpatient Rehabilitation Facility
<b>CPO</b>	Care Plan Oversight
<b>CPT</b>	Current Procedural Terminology
<b>CRNA</b>	Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist
<b>CSC</b>	Claim Status Code
<b>CSCC</b>	Claim Status Category Code
<b>CSSC</b>	Customer Service and Support Center
<b>D</b>	
<b>DCN</b>	Document Control Number
<b>DDRC</b>	Default Data Reason Code
<b>DME</b>	Durable Medical Equipment
<b>DMEPOS</b>	Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetics, Orthotics, and Supplies
<b>DMERC</b>	Durable Medical Equipment Carrier
<b>DOB</b>	Date of Birth
<b>DOD</b>	Date of Death
<b>DOS</b>	Date(s) of Service
<b>E</b>	
<b>E &amp; M or E/M</b>	Evaluation and Management
<b>EDDPPS</b>	Encounter Data DME Processing and Pricing Sub-System
<b>EDFES</b>	Encounter Data Front-End System
<b>EDI</b>	Electronic Data Interchange

<b>ACRONYM</b>	<b>DEFINITION</b>
<b>EDIPPS</b>	Encounter Data Institutional Processing and Pricing Sub-System
<b>EDPPPS</b>	Encounter Data Professional Processing and Pricing Sub-System
<b>EDPS</b>	Encounter Data Processing System
<b>EDR</b>	Encounter Data Record
<b>EDS</b>	Encounter Data System
<b>EIC</b>	Entity Identifier Code
<b>EODS</b>	Encounter Operational Data Store
<b>ESRD</b>	End Stage Renal Disease
<b>F</b>	
<b>FFS</b>	Fee-for-Service
<b>FQHC</b>	Federally Qualified Health Center
<b>FTP</b>	File Transfer Protocol
<b>FY</b>	Fiscal Year
<b>H</b>	
<b>HCPCS</b>	Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System
<b>HHA</b>	Home Health Agency
<b>HICN</b>	Health Information Claim Number
<b>HIPAA</b>	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
<b>HIPPS</b>	Health Insurance Prospective Payment System
<b>I</b>	
<b>ICD-9CM/ICD-10CM</b>	International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification (versions 9 and 10)
<b>ICN</b>	Interchange Control Number / Internal Control Number
<b>IG</b>	Implementation Guide
<b>IPPS</b>	Inpatient Prospective Payment System
<b>IRF</b>	Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility
<b>M</b>	
<b>MAC</b>	Medicare Administrative Contractor
<b>MAO</b>	Medicare Advantage Organization
<b>MTP</b>	Multiple Technical Procedure
<b>MUE</b>	Medically Unlikely Edits
<b>N</b>	
<b>NCD</b>	National Coverage Determination
<b>NDC</b>	National Drug Codes
<b>NPI</b>	National Provider Identifier
<b>NCCI</b>	National Correct Coding Initiative
<b>NOC</b>	Not Otherwise Classified
<b>NPPES</b>	National Plan and Provider Enumeration System
<b>O</b>	

ACRONYM	DEFINITION
OASIS	Outcome and Assessment Information Set
OBRA	Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993
OCE	Outpatient Code Editor
OIG	Officer of Inspector General
OPPS	Outpatient Prospective Payment System
P	
PACE	Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly
PHI	Protected Health Information
PIP	Periodic Interim Payment
POA	Present on Admission
POS	Place of Service
PPS	Prospective Payment System
R	
RAP	Request for Anticipated Payment
RHC	Rural Health Clinic
RNHCI	Religious Nonmedical Health Care Institution
RPCH	Regional Primary Care Hospital
S	
SME	Subject Matter Expert
SNF	Skilled Nursing Facility
SSA	Social Security Administration
T	
TARSC	Technical Assistance Registration Service Center
TCN	Transaction Control Number
TOB	Type of Bill
TOS	Type of Service
TPS	Third Party Submitter
V	
VC	Value Code
Z	
ZIP Code	Zone Improvement Plan Code

**TABLE 21 – REVISION HISTORY**

VERSION	DATE	DESCRIPTION OF REVISION
1.0	6/22/2012	Baseline Version
2.0	8/31/2012	Release 2
3.0	9/26/2012	Release 3



VERSION	DATE	DESCRIPTION OF REVISION
4.0	10/25/2012	Release 4
5.0	11/26/2012	Release 5
6.0	12/21/2012	Release 6
7.0	1/25/2013	Release 7
8.0	2/26/2013	Release 8
9.0	3/20/2013	Release 9
10.0	4/25/2013	Release 10
11.0	5/20/2013	Release 11
12.0	6/24/2013	Release 12
13.0	7/25/2013	Release 13
14.0	9/26/2013	Release 14
15.0	10/25/2013	Release 15
16.0	11/26/2013	Release 16
17.0	12/27/2013	Release 17
18.0	1/22/2014	Release 18
19.0	2/21/2014	Release 19
20.0	3/18/2014	Release 20
21.0	4/28/2014	Release 21
22.0	5/30/2014	Release 22
23.0	7/30/2014	Release 23
24.0	9/30/2014	Release 24
25.0	11/28/2014	Release 25
26.0	3/31/2015	Release 26
27.0	5/29/2015	Release 27
28.0	9/4/2015	Release 28
29.0	11/28/2015	Release 29
30.0	3/25/2016	<b>Release 30</b>

<b>VERSION</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION OF REVISION</b>
<b>31.0</b>	<b>7/8/16</b>	<b>Section 3.1</b> – Removed Limitations in Connectivity Table
<b>31.0</b>	<b>7/8/16</b>	<b>Section 6.7, Table 10</b> – Added new EDFES notification
<b>31.0</b>	<b>7/8/16</b>	<b>Section 7.0, Table 11</b> – Added new deactivated EDFES edit
<b>31.0</b>	<b>7/8/16</b>	<b>Section 10.0, Table 14</b> – Added new edits 00800 and 00805; removed edit 30262
<b>31.0</b>	<b>7/8/16</b>	<b>Section 10.0, Table 15</b> – Added new edits 00800 and 00805
<b>31.0</b>	<b>7/8/16</b>	<b>Section 10.2.3, Table 17</b> – Updated EDPS Edits Prevention and Resolution Strategies and scenarios to include new edits 00800 and 00805.